

ON SUMS OF $SL(3, \mathbb{Z})$ KLOOSTERMAN SUMS.

JACK BUTTCANE

ABSTRACT. We show that sums of the $SL(3, \mathbb{Z})$ long element Kloosterman sum against a smooth weight function have cancellation due to the variation in argument of the Kloosterman sums, when each modulus is at least the square root of the other. Our main tool is Li's generalization of the Kuznetsov formula on $SL(3, \mathbb{R})$, which has to date been prohibitively difficult to apply. We first obtain analytic expressions for the weight functions on the Kloosterman sum side by converting them to Mellin-Barnes integral form. This allows us to relax the conditions on the test function and to produce a partial inversion formula suitable for studying sums of the long-element $SL(3, \mathbb{Z})$ Kloosterman sums.

1. INTRODUCTION

The classical Kloosterman sums originated in 1926 in the context of applying the circle method to counting representations of integers by the four-term quadratic form $ax^2 + by^2 + cz^2 + dt^2$ [14]; they are defined by

$$S(a, b, c) = \sum_{\substack{x \pmod{c} \\ (x, c) = 1 \\ x\bar{x} \equiv 1 \pmod{c}}} e\left(\frac{ax + b\bar{x}}{c}\right), \quad e(x) = e^{2\pi i x},$$

and they enjoy a multiplicativity relation: If $(c, c') = 1$, then

$$S(a, b, cc') = S(\bar{c}'a, \bar{c}'b, c)S(\bar{c}a, \bar{c}b, c'),$$

where $c'\bar{c}' \equiv 1 \pmod{c}$, $c\bar{c} \equiv 1 \pmod{c'}$. In 1927, Kloosterman [13] used these sums to estimate Fourier coefficients of modular forms, as did Rademacher in 1937 [21]. Optimal estimates for individual Kloosterman sums were obtained in 1948 by André Weil [27]: $|S(a, b, c)| \leq d(c)\sqrt{(a, b, c)}\sqrt{c}$, where $d(c)$ is the number of positive divisors of c and (a, b, c) is the greatest common divisor. In 1963, Linnik published a paper outlining methods for problems in additive number theory [17] in which he noted the importance of sums of Kloosterman sums and made the conjecture that such sums should have good cancellation between terms:

Conjecture 1 (Linnik). *Let N be large and $C > N^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}$, then*

$$\sum_{c \leq C} S(1, N, c) \ll C^{1+\epsilon}.$$

Date: September 23, 2011.

Email address: runman@math.ucla.edu.

During the time of this research, the author was supported by National Science Foundation DMS-10-01527 and VIGRE grants.

One should compare this to Weil's estimate which gives $C^{\frac{3}{2}+\epsilon}$.

On a parallel track, between 1932 and 1940, Petersson [20], Rankin [22] and Selberg [23] connected Fourier coefficients of modular forms to sums of Kloosterman sums by studying Poincaré series. This led to Kuznetsov's trace formulas [15] which relate sums of Kloosterman sums to sums of Fourier coefficients of $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ automorphic forms, and using these formulas in 1980, Kuznetsov was able to make progress towards Linnik's conjecture:

Theorem 2 (Kuznetsov).

$$\sum_{c \leq T} \frac{1}{c} S(n, m, c) \ll_{n, m} T^{\frac{1}{6}} (\ln T)^{\frac{1}{3}}.$$

As Weil's estimate here gives $T^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}$, we must be seeing cancellation between terms as Linnik predicted.

This second track has been quite fruitful for the followers of Iwaniec – sums of arithmetic functions, usually related to quadratic forms in some sense, can sometimes be decomposed into sums of Kloosterman sums, e.g. [5], and similarly, exponential sums related to quadratic forms can often be decomposed into Poincaré series, e.g. [8]. The Kuznetsov trace formulas then play the role of Poisson summation, allowing one to substitute a sum of Fourier coefficients of automorphic forms for a sum of Kloosterman sums and visa versa. Iwaniec in particular has made good use of a sort of double application of Kuznetsov's formulas; using positivity to study averages of Fourier coefficients of automorphic forms via the Kuznetsov formula and then applying these estimates to sums of Kloosterman sums via the second form of the Kuznetsov formula, e.g. [5].

Finally, we note that the Fourier coefficients of automorphic forms which are also eigenfunctions of the Hecke operators give rise to L -functions. By applying the Kuznetsov formulas in this situation we may obtain results on averages of L -functions and all of the problems to which such things apply, e.g. [7].

Now having noted the strong connection between analysis on $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ and quadratic forms, it is hoped that analysis on $SL(3, \mathbb{R})$ will play a similar role in the study of cubic forms, and the analysis of Hecke operators on $SL(3, \mathbb{R})$ automorphic forms is also known to give rise to L -functions. A paper of Jacquet, Piatetski-Shapiro and Shilika [10] and a book of Bump [2] (which is essentially his dissertation), form the foundations of the L -function approach; and a paper of Bump, Friedberg and Goldfeld [3] initiates the study of Poincaré series and Kloosterman sums on $SL(3, \mathbb{Z})$.

The BFG paper notes that the Fourier coefficients of Poincaré series are given by sums of two new types of exponential sums in addition to the classical sums of Kloosterman himself; we will primarily be concerned with the long-element sum which we denote $S_{w_l}(\psi_m, \psi_n, c)$, for reasons which will be made clear later, and is given by the sum

$$S_{w_l}(\psi_{m_1, m_2}, \psi_{n_1, n_2}, (A_1, A_2)) = \sum_{\substack{B_1, C_1 \pmod{A_1} \\ B_2, C_2 \pmod{A_2}}}^* e \left(m_2 \frac{Z_2 B_1 - Y_2 A_1}{A_2} + m_1 \frac{Y_1 A_2 - Z_1 B_2}{A_1} + n_2 \frac{B_1}{A_1} + n_1 \frac{-B_2}{A_2} \right),$$

here the sum $\sum^*$ is restricted to those quadruples of B_1, C_1, B_2, C_2 satisfying

$$(A_1, B_1, C_1) = (A_2, B_2, C_2) = 1, \quad A_1 C_2 + B_1 B_2 + C_1 A_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{A_1 A_2},$$

and the numbers Y_1, Z_1, Y_2, Z_2 are defined by

$$Y_1 B_1 + Z_1 C_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{A_1}, \quad Y_2 B_2 + Z_2 C_2 \equiv 1 \pmod{A_2}.$$

In BFG, the authors list a number of basic properties of this new Kloosterman sum, which generally relate to its well-definedness and interchanging indices of characters or moduli, but the most important is a type of multiplicativity:

Lemma 3 (BFG). *If $(c_1 c_2, c'_1 c'_2) = 1$ and*

$$\overline{c_1} c_1 \equiv \overline{c_2} c_2 \equiv 1 \pmod{c'_1 c'_2}, \quad \overline{c'_1} c'_1 \equiv \overline{c'_2} c'_2 \equiv 1 \pmod{c_1 c_2},$$

then

$$S_{w_l}(\psi_m, \psi_n, (c_1 c'_1, c_2 c'_2)) = S_{w_l}(\psi_{m'}, \psi_n, (c_1, c_2)) S_{w_l}(\psi_{m''}, \psi_n, (c'_1, c'_2)),$$

where $m' = (\overline{c'_1}^2 c'_2 m_1, c'_1 \overline{c'_2}^2 m_2)$, and $m'' = (\overline{c_1}^2 c_2 m_1, c_1 \overline{c_2}^2 m_2)$.

Similarly, we have Weil-quality estimates for these sums, courtesy of Stevens:

Theorem 4 (Stevens).

$$|S_{w_l}(\psi_m, \psi_n, (A_1, A_2))|^2 \leq d(A_1)^2 d(A_2)^2 (|m_1 n_2|, D) (|m_2 n_1|, D) (A_1, A_2) A_1 A_2,$$

where $D = \frac{A_1 A_2}{(A_1, A_2)}$.

Dabrowski and Fisher [4] have improved these estimates in most cases, but we expect that the exponents $(A_1 A_2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ are sharp in the general case, though the author is unaware of any such proof.

The hope that these generalized Kloosterman sums will play a similar role to their classical counterparts leads us to make Linnik-type conjectures for cancellation between terms in a sum of $SL(3, \mathbb{Z})$ Kloosterman sums, and the main result of this paper confirms this for a smooth weight function when the moduli are roughly the same size:

Theorem 5. *Let $f \in C_c^2((\mathbb{R}^+)^2)$, and take X and Y to be large parameters, with ψ_m and ψ_n non-degenerate characters, then*

$$\sum_{\epsilon \in \{\pm 1\}^2} \sum_{c_1, c_2 \geq 1} \frac{S_{w_l}(\psi_m, \psi_{\epsilon n}, c)}{c_1 c_2} f\left(X \frac{\pi^2 c_2 |m_1 n_2|}{c_1^2}, Y \frac{\pi^2 c_1 |m_2 n_1|}{c_2^2}\right) \\ \ll_{f, m, n, \epsilon} (XY)^\epsilon \left((XY)^{\frac{5}{14}} + X^{\frac{1}{2}} + Y^{\frac{1}{2}} \right).$$

If we instead apply Stevens' estimate for the individual Kloosterman sums, we are led to the bound $(XY)^{\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon}$, so we are seeing cancellation between terms in the sum. The $(XY)^{\frac{5}{14}}$ comes from the Kim-Sarnak bound on the (real part of the) Langlands parameters of $SL(3, \mathbb{R})$ cusp forms, and the $X^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $Y^{\frac{1}{2}}$ terms come from some second-term asymptotics which present a difficulty in our partial inversion of a two-dimensional integral transform. If the generalized Ramanujan-Selberg conjecture (a.k.a. generalized Selberg eigenvalue conjecture) holds then our bound becomes $(XY)^\epsilon \left(X^{\frac{1}{2}} + Y^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)$, but we expect that the optimal bound would be $(XY)^\epsilon$ if one had a full inversion formula.

We expect that the most interesting examples should have $c_1 \asymp c_2$, i.e. when $X = Y$, and in this case the dominant term becomes $X^{\frac{5}{7}+\epsilon}$, which is entirely controlled by the Kim-Sarnak bound. Again, under the generalized Ramanujan-Selberg conjecture, this becomes $X^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}$ and the optimal bound should be X^ϵ . We have not chosen to track the dependence on the indices m and n here, but it is simple to do so.

The methods here come from harmonic analysis on symmetric spaces. In particular, these results are obtained by studying a generalization of the Kuznetsov formula to $SL(3, \mathbb{R})$: Starting from a proof of Kuznetsov's trace formula on $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ by Zagier, and using the Fourier coefficient decomposition of automorphic forms on $SL(n, \mathbb{R})$ by Friedberg (generalizes that of BFG on $SL(3, \mathbb{R})$), Li has given a generalization of the first of Kuznetsov's trace formulas to $SL(n, \mathbb{R})$ and this appears in Goldfeld's book on automorphic forms on $SL(n, \mathbb{R})$ [9]. So far, only the most basic of estimates have come out of the $SL(n)$ Kuznetsov formula and only for $SL(3)$, these may be found in a paper of Li herself [16], but in general, the integral transforms appearing in her formula are too complex to use effectively. Blomer has been able to push somewhat farther by developing his own generalization of Kuznetsov's first formula [1].

Using the Kuznetsov formula, we are able to express the integral transforms as an integral of the original test function against a function in Mellin-Barnes integral form. With this representation, we can produce a sort of first-term inversion for the integral transform attached to the sum of long-element Kloosterman sums, which gives us a sort of incomplete generalization of Kuznetsov's second trace formula, and the proof of Theorem 5 then proceeds much as in Kuznetsov's original paper.

The central idea is that the spectral parameters of the $SL(3, \mathbb{R})$ automorphic forms occur in a strip which is positive distance from the region of absolute convergence of the long-element Kloosterman zeta function. The aforementioned difficulties with the second-term asymptotics prevent us from obtaining the analytic continuation of the Kloosterman zeta function, but a similar path of shifting contours outside the region of absolute convergence yields the above results.

Yangbo Ye [28] has given an alternate approach starting directly with sums of the long-element Kloosterman sums. He provides a spectral interpretation which could be used to provide bounds in much the same manner as the current paper. The difficulty with his Kuznetsov formula, as with Li's, lies in the complexity of the generalized Bessel functions, hence an analysis of the functions occurring in his formula, as we are about to provide for Li's, should produce similar results. It would be an interesting problem for future research to compare the two.

2. BACKGROUND

A good reference here is Goldfeld's book [9] for the automorphic forms side, but one should certainly start with the paper of Bump, Friedberg, and Goldfeld [3]. For the harmonic analysis on symmetric spaces, the author learned from Terras' book [25], but would like to recommend Jorgensen and Lang [11]. Before we begin, we have two notes on notation: We tend to consider $SL(3, \mathbb{R})$ embedded as the matrices of positive determinant in $GL(3, \mathbb{R})/\langle \mathbb{R}^+, \pm I \rangle$, so when we discuss matrices of determinant other than one, we simply mean to divide by the cube root of the determinant. Also, we parameterize by the Langland's parameters $\mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3)$ with $\mu_3 = -\mu_1 - \mu_2$ in place of the parameters ν used by Goldfeld.

We write the Iwasawa decomposition for $z \in SL(3, \mathbb{R})$ as $z \equiv xy \pmod{SO(3, \mathbb{R})}$, where

$$x = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_2 & x_3 \\ & 1 & x_1 \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad y = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 y_2 & & \\ & y_1 & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$y_i \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and $x_i \in \mathbb{R}$; note the ordering of the indices. The $SL(3, \mathbb{R})$ -invariant measure has the form

$$dz = dx \, dy, \quad dx = dx_1 \, dx_2 \, dx_3, \quad dy = \frac{dy_1 \, dy_2}{(y_1 y_2)^3},$$

and we define characters on the space of such x as

$$\psi_{(m_1, m_2)} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_2 & x_3 \\ & 1 & x_1 \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} = e(m_1 x_1 + m_2 x_2), \quad e(x) := e^{2\pi i x},$$

and characters on the space of such y as $p_\mu(y) = y_1^{\mu_1 + \mu_2} y_2^{\mu_1}$. The power function is normalized by $\rho = (1, 0, -1)$ so that $p_{\rho+\mu}(xy) = y_1^{1+\mu_1+\mu_2} y_2^{1+\mu_1}$.

In terms of the Langlands parameters, the definition of Maass cusp forms on $SL(3, \mathbb{R})$ becomes: Writing $G = SL(3, \mathbb{R})$, $K = SO(3, \mathbb{R})$, and $\Gamma = SL(3, \mathbb{Z})$, $\varphi : G/K \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a Maass cusp form if $\varphi(\gamma z) = \varphi(z)$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$, $\varphi \in L^2(\Gamma \backslash G/K)$,

$$\int_{U_i(\mathbb{Z}) \backslash U_i(\mathbb{R})} \varphi(uz) du = 0,$$

for the upper-triangular groups

$$U_1 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & * \\ & 1 & * \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}, \quad U_2 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & * & * \\ & 1 & 0 \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\},$$

and φ is an eigenvalue of all the G -invariant differential operators on G/K . A Maass form is of type $\mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3)$ (where $\mu_1 + \mu_2 + \mu_3 = 0$) if it shares the eigenvalues of the power function at μ :

$$-\Delta_1 p_{\rho+\mu} = \left(1 - \frac{\mu_1^2 + \mu_2^2 + \mu_3^2}{2} \right) p_{\rho+\mu}, \quad \Delta_2 p_{\rho+\mu} = -\mu_1 \mu_2 \mu_3 p_{\rho+\mu},$$

where Δ_1 and Δ_2 are the generators of the group of invariant differential operators, $\mathfrak{D}$, which are given explicitly in [9, p153]. It is known that $-\bar{\mu}$ is some permutation of μ for the Langlands parameters of $SL(3, \mathbb{R})$ Maass cusp forms [26], and we will also need a result of Kim and Sarnak that $|\text{Re}(\mu_i)| \leq \frac{5}{14}$ [18, 19, 12].

For the Langlands Eisenstein series, we have two types:

$$E(z, \mu) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_\infty \backslash \Gamma} p_{\rho+\mu}(\gamma z), \quad E_\phi(z, \mu_1) = \sum_{\gamma \in P_{2,1} \backslash \Gamma} p_{\mu_1, \phi}(\gamma z),$$

where

$$\Gamma_\infty = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & * & * \\ 0 & 1 & * \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma \right\}, \quad P_{2,1} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} * & * & * \\ * & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma \right\},$$

and $p_{\mu_1, \phi}(g) = (y_1^2 y_2)^{\frac{1}{2} + \mu_1} \phi(x_2 + i y_2)$ with ϕ any $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ cusp form. The Eisenstein series are smooth functions on $\Gamma \backslash G/K$ which are eigenvalues of all of $\mathfrak{D}$, but not square-integrable. They have meromorphic continuation to all of $\mathbb{C}$ in each μ_i .

	Bruhat	Compatibility	$S_w(\psi_m, \psi_n, (c_1, c_2))$
I	$c_1 = c_2 = 1$	$m = n$	1
w_2	$c_1 = 1$	$m_1 = n_1 = 0$	$S(-m_2, -n_2, c_2)$
w_3	$c_2 = 1$	$m_2 = n_2 = 0$	$S(m_1, n_1, c_1)$

TABLE 1. Degenerate $SL(3, \mathbb{Z})$ Kloosterman Sums

Taking $U(\cdot) \subset SL(3, \cdot)$ to be the Borel subgroup, and $\overline{U}_w = (w^{-1} {}^t U w) \cap U$, where w is an element of the Weyl group W , the Bruhat decomposition of an element $g \in SL(3, \mathbb{R})$ is $g = b_1 c w b_2$ with $b_1, b_2 \in U(\mathbb{R})$ (the decomposition is unique if we require $b_2 \in \overline{U}_w(\mathbb{R})$), $w \in W$, and

$$c = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{c_2} & & \\ & \frac{c_2}{c_1} & \\ & & c_1 \end{pmatrix}, c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{N}.$$

The Weyl group W has the 6 elements

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & w_2 &= \begin{pmatrix} & 1 & \\ -1 & & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & w_3 &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & & -1 \\ & 1 & \end{pmatrix}, \\ w_4 &= \begin{pmatrix} & & 1 \\ & 1 & \\ 1 & & \end{pmatrix}, & w_5 &= \begin{pmatrix} & & 1 \\ 1 & & \\ & 1 & \end{pmatrix}, & w_l &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & -1 & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

The generalized Kloosterman sums we study here are exponential sums attached to the Bruhat decomposition: We set

$$S_w(\psi_m, \psi_n, c) = \sum_{b_1 c w b_2 \in U(\mathbb{Z}) \backslash \Gamma / \overline{U}_w(\mathbb{Z})} \psi_m(b_1) \psi_n(b_2),$$

provided the sum is independent of the choice of Bruhat decompositions of each coset representative, and 0 otherwise. This independence assumption is called the compatibility condition.

We wish to explicitly define the Kloosterman sums, which is best done in terms of the Plücker coordinates: Coset representatives

$$\begin{pmatrix} * & * & * \\ d & e & f \\ a & b & c \end{pmatrix} \in U(\mathbb{Z}) \backslash \Gamma$$

are characterized by six invariants: The bottom row $A_1 = a, B_1 = b, C_1 = c$ having $(A_1, B_1, C_1) = 1$, and the first set of minors $A_2 = bd - ae, B_2 = af - cd, C_2 = ce - bf$ having $(A_2, B_2, C_2) = 1$ and subject to $A_1 C_2 + B_1 B_2 + C_1 A_2 = 0$. In [3], the Bruhat decomposition for each element of the Weyl group were computed using these invariants – note that membership in a particular Bruhat cell imposes certain requirements on the Plücker coordinates, giving explicit forms to the $SL(3, \mathbb{Z})$ Kloosterman sums. The three degenerate sums are listed in Table 1, using the classical Kloosterman sum.

The w_4 Kloosterman sum is a new exponential sum. Its Bruhat condition is $c_2|c_1$ and the compatibility condition is $m_2c_1 = n_1c_2^2$. Explicitly,

$$S_{w_4}(\psi_m, \psi_n, (A_1, B_2)) = \sum_{\substack{C_2 \pmod{B_2} \\ C_1 \pmod{A_1} \\ (A_1/B_2, C_1) = (B_2, C_2) = 1}} e \left(-m_2 \frac{\overline{C_2} C_1}{B_2} - m_1 \frac{\overline{C_1} B_2}{A_1} - n_2 \frac{C_2}{B_2} \right).$$

The w_5 Kloosterman sum is essentially the same as for w_4 . Its Bruhat condition is $c_1|c_2$ and the compatibility condition is $m_1c_2 = n_2c_1^2$, and we have

$$S_{w_5}(\psi_m, \psi_n, (c_1, c_2)) = S_{w_4}(\psi_{-m_2, m_1}, \psi_{n_2, -n_1}, (c_2, c_1)).$$

There are Weil-quality bounds for this first type of Kloosterman sum due to Larsen (in the BFG paper):

Theorem 6 (Larsen).

- a) $|S_{w_4}(\psi_m, \psi_n, (A_1, A_2))| \leq d(A_1)(|m_2|, |n_2|, A_2)A_1,$
- b) $|S_{w_5}(\psi_m, \psi_n, (A_1, A_2))| \leq d(A_2)(|m_2|, |n_1|, A_1)A_2.$

The Bruhat and compatibility conditions for the long-element Kloosterman sum are vacuously true, and we note that in Theorem 4, Stevens is completely unconcerned with the dependence of his estimate on the indices m and n , so one needs to keep track of this: In his proof of Theorem (5.9), on page 49, use instead the estimates

$$\begin{aligned} \left(|\nu_1 p^{s-a}|_p^{-1}, |\nu'_2 p^{r-b}|_p^{-1}, p^r \right) &\leq \left(|\nu_1 \nu'_2|_p^{-1}, p^r \right) (p^{s-a}, p^{r-b}) \\ &\leq \left(|\nu_1 \nu'_2|_p^{-1}, p^r \right) p^{\frac{s-a+r-b}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

and similarly

$$\left(|\nu_2 p^{2r-s-b}|_p^{-1}, |\nu'_1 p^{r-a}|_p^{-1}, p^r \right) \leq \left(|\nu_2 \nu'_1|_p^{-1}, p^r \right) p^{\frac{2r-s-b+r-a}{2}}.$$

The Kuznetsov trace formula relates sums of Fourier coefficients of $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ automorphic forms to sums of the classical Kloosterman sums. It has two forms: The first allows an essentially arbitrary test function on the Fourier coefficient side and has transforms of the test function on the Kloosterman sum side; the second form has the test function on the Kloosterman sum side with transforms of it on the Fourier coefficient side. This is a type of asymmetrical Poisson summation formula, but having both forms allows us to use it in much the same manner – to study sums of Kloosterman sums using our knowledge of sums of Fourier coefficients of automorphic forms and visa versa.

Starting from a proof of Kuznetsov's trace formula on $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ by Zagier, Li has given a generalization of the first form to $SL(n, \mathbb{R})$; in the case of $SL(3, \mathbb{R})$, it becomes:

Theorem 7 (Li). *Let $\{\varphi_j\}$ be an orthonormal basis of the $SL(3, \mathbb{R})$ cusp forms with φ_0 constant and Langlands parameters μ_j , and $\{\phi_j\}$ an orthonormal basis of $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ cusp forms with ϕ_0 constant and Langlands parameters μ'_j . Let $k \in$*

$C_c^\infty(K \backslash G / K)$, and m, n pairs of non-zero integers. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
(1) \quad & \sum_{j \geq 1} \frac{\hat{k}(\mu_j)}{C(\mu_j)} \rho_{\varphi_j}(n) \bar{\rho}_{\varphi_j}(m) \\
& + \frac{1}{4\pi i} \sum_{j \geq 1} \int_{\operatorname{Re}(\mu_1)=0} \frac{\hat{k}(\mu_1 - \mu'_j, -2\mu_1)}{C(\mu_1 - \mu'_j, -2\mu_1)} \eta_{\phi_j}(n; \mu_1) \bar{\eta}_{\phi_j}(m; \mu_1) d\mu_1 \\
& + \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \int_{\operatorname{Re}(\mu)=(0,0)} \frac{\hat{k}(\mu)}{C(\mu)} \eta(n; \mu) \bar{\eta}(m; \mu) d\mu \\
& = \delta_{mn} H_I(k, \psi_m, \psi_n, (1, 1)) \\
& + \sum_{w \in \{w_4, w_5, w_l\}} \sum_{v \in V} \sum_{c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{N}} S_w(\psi_m, \psi_n^v, c) H_w(k, \psi_m, \psi_n^v, c),
\end{aligned}$$

where $\hat{k}$ is the Selberg transform of k , $\psi_n^v(x) = \psi_n(vxv)$,

$$C(\mu) = \pi^{-4} \cos \frac{\pi}{2}(\mu_1 - \mu_2) \cos \frac{\pi}{2}(\mu_1 - \mu_3) \cos \frac{\pi}{2}(\mu_2 - \mu_3),$$

ρ_{φ_j} , η , and η_{ϕ_j} are the Fourier-Whittaker coefficients of the cusp form φ_j , the minimal parabolic Eisenstein series, and the Eisenstein series twisted by ϕ_j , respectively, and

$$\begin{aligned}
H_w = |m_1 m_2 n_1 n_2| \int_{(\mathbb{R}^+)^2} \int_{U(\mathbb{R})} \int_{\bar{U}_w(\mathbb{R})} k \left(|m| (xt)^{-1} cw(x't) |n|^{-1} \right) \\
\psi_m(x) \overline{\psi_n(x')} dx' dx \frac{dt_1 dt_2}{t_1 t_2^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

The proof of this is given in Goldfeld's book, with two corrections: The final formula for H_w should have $U(\mathbb{R})$ in place of $U(\mathbb{Z}) \backslash U(\mathbb{R})$ and cw in place of wc .

Here V is the group of diagonal orthogonal matrices:

$$V = \left\{ I, \begin{pmatrix} -1 & & \\ & -1 & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} -1 & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & -1 & \\ & & -1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}.$$

Additionally, the only Weyl elements which appear for non-degenerate characters on $SL(3, \mathbb{R})$ are the trivial element I , long element w_l and the two intermediate elements w_4 and w_5 .

The test function k appears on the Fourier coefficient side of the formula as the Selberg transform $\hat{k}$, but this has an inversion formula, called spherical inversion, which allows us to replace $\hat{k}$ with an essentially arbitrary test function, and so this formula generalizes the first form of Kuznetsov's formula on $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$.

The spherical inversion formula for $SL(3, \mathbb{R})$ has the form

Theorem 8 (Spherical Inversion). *For $k \in \operatorname{HCS}(K \backslash G / K)$, the Selberg transform $k \mapsto \hat{k}$ has the inversion*

$$k(z) = -\frac{1}{6\pi^7} \int_{\operatorname{Re}(\mu)=(0,0)} \frac{\hat{k}(\mu)}{|c_3(\mu)|^2} h_\mu(z) d\mu,$$

where

$$|c_3(\mu)|^{-2} = \prod_{j < k} \frac{\pi}{2} (\mu_j - \mu_k) \tan \frac{\pi}{2} (\mu_j - \mu_k),$$

and $h_\mu(z)$ is the spherical function

$$h_\mu(z) = \int_K p_{\rho+\mu}(kz) dk.$$

$\hat{k}$ may be taken to be any Schwartz-class function on $\text{Re}(\mu) = (0, 0)$ which is invariant under permutations of the coordinates of μ .

This theorem of Harish-Chandra [11, Ch X, Sect 5, Thm 5.6] is essentially that the Selberg transform extends to an isomorphism

$$\text{HCS}(K \backslash G / K) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{SCH}^W(\mu).$$

The first space is the Harish-Chandra Schwartz space defined by the property that f belongs to the space whenever $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is bi- K -invariant, smooth in the coordinates of G , and for each bi- K -invariant differential operator D on G and any $N \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$|f(a)| \ll_{D,N} \frac{h_0(a)}{(1 + |\log p_{11}(a)|)^N},$$

for all positive diagonal a . The second space is the Schwartz space of real-analytic functions on $\text{Re}(\mu) = 0$ which are invariant under the action of the Weyl group (which acts by permutations of the coordinates of μ).

To apply the Kuznetsov formula, we will need to know when the various sums and integrals converge. From the Weil-quality bounds on the Kloosterman sums, we may investigate the absolute convergence of the corresponding Kloosterman zeta functions:

Proposition 9.

$$\begin{aligned} a) \sum_{c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{|S_{w_4}(\psi_m, \psi_n, (c_1, c_2))|}{c_1 c_2} \left(\frac{c_1^2}{c_2 |m_1 n_1 n_2|} \right)^{u_1} \left(\frac{c_2^2 |n_1|}{c_1 |m_1|} \right)^{u_2} \\ \ll \frac{(|n_1|, |m_2|)^{1-3u_1-2\epsilon} \left(|n_2|, \frac{|m_2|}{(|n_1|, |m_2|)} \right)}{|m_1|^{u_1+u_2} |m_2|^{1-u_1-u_2-2\epsilon} |n_1|^{-u_1-\epsilon} |n_2|^{u_1}} \text{ for } u_1 < 0, \\ b) \sum_{c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{|S_{w_5}(\psi_m, \psi_n, (c_1, c_2))|}{c_1 c_2} \left(\frac{c_2^2}{c_1 |m_2 n_1 n_2|} \right)^{u_1} \left(\frac{c_1^2 |n_2|}{c_2 |m_2|} \right)^{u_2} \\ \ll \frac{(|n_2|, |m_1|)^{1-3u_1-2\epsilon} \left(|n_1|, \frac{|m_1|}{(|n_2|, |m_1|)} \right)}{|m_1|^{1-u_1-u_2-2\epsilon} |m_2|^{u_1+u_2} |n_1|^{u_1} |n_2|^{-u_1-\epsilon}} \text{ for } u_1 < 0, \\ c) \sum_{c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{|S_{w_l}(\psi_m, \psi_n, (c_1, c_2))|}{c_1 c_2} \left(\frac{c_1^2}{c_2 |m_1 n_2|} \right)^{u_1} \left(\frac{c_2^2}{c_1 |m_2 n_1|} \right)^{u_2} \\ \ll |m_1 n_2|^{-u_1+\epsilon} |m_2 n_1|^{-u_2+\epsilon} \text{ for } u_1, u_2 < -\frac{1}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

(These normalizations are unusual, but will make more sense once we start evaluating the integral transforms.)

A simple consequence of the Kuznetsov formula are some mean value estimates for Fourier-Whittaker coefficients of $SL(3, \mathbb{Z})$ automorphic forms, which will help us evaluate convergence of the spectral side of the Kuznetsov formula:

Theorem 10 (Blomer). *For $\mu^\dagger$ and $T \geq 1$ fixed, the quantities*

$$\sum_{\substack{j \geq 1 \\ \|\mu_j - \mu^\dagger\| \leq T}} \frac{|\rho_{\varphi_j}(1, 1)|^2}{C(\mu_j)},$$

$$\sum_{j \geq 1} \int_{\substack{\operatorname{Re}(\mu_1)=0 \\ \|(\mu_1 - \mu'_j, -2\mu_1) - \mu^\dagger\| \leq T}} \frac{|\eta_j((1, 1); \mu_1)|^2}{C(\mu_1 - \mu'_j, -2\mu_1)} d\mu_1,$$

and

$$\int_{\substack{\operatorname{Re}(\mu)=(0,0) \\ \|\mu_j - \mu^\dagger\| \leq T}} \frac{|\eta((1, 1); \mu)|^2}{C(\mu)} d\mu$$

are all bounded by

$$T^2 (T + \|\mu^\dagger\|)^3.$$

This is essentially a theorem of Blomer, but one can obtain these by applying Theorem 11 with a test function $\hat{k}$ which is non-negative on the spectrum and decays rapidly away from the desired regions then applying bounds for the $J_{w, \mu}$ functions. Such test functions are constructed in [6] – see [16], and we will prove bounds of the requisite nature in the final section of this paper. Blomer demonstrates results of this type by applying a Kuznetsov formula of his own, the purpose of which is the same; that is, to make a sufficiently simplified version of the Kuznetsov formula on $SL(3, \mathbb{R})$. One can extend this to the $m \neq (1, 1)$ Fourier coefficients by applying the second half of the Kim-Sarnak result, $\frac{\rho_\phi(m)}{\rho_\phi(1, 1)} \ll (m_1 m_2)^{\frac{5}{14} + \epsilon}$, or by applying the Kuznetsov formula directly, which results in a slightly different bound.

We will be making heavy use of the Jacquet-Whittaker function, which is initially defined by

$$W(z; \mu, \psi_m) = \int_{U(\mathbb{R})} p_{\rho+\mu}(w_l u z) \psi_m(u) du.$$

Its completion is given by $W^*(z; \mu, \psi_m) = \Lambda(\mu) W(z; \mu, \psi_m)$, where

$$\Lambda(\mu) = \pi^{-\frac{3}{2} + \mu_1 - \mu_2} \Gamma\left(\frac{1 + \mu_2 - \mu_3}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1 + \mu_3 - \mu_1}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1 - \mu_1 + \mu_2}{2}\right).$$

We will need a number of basic facts about the Whittaker function, so we collect them here: The dependence on x is given by $W(xy; \mu, \psi_m) = \psi_m(x) W(y; \mu, \psi_m)$. It is also easy to check that if $0 \neq t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$W(y; \mu, \psi_{t_1 t_2}) = p_{-\rho - \mu^{w_l}}(|t|) W(|t| y; \mu, \psi_{11}),$$

by sending $u \mapsto t^{-1} v u t v$, where

$$|t| = \begin{pmatrix} |t_1| |t_2| & & \\ & |t_1| & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad v = \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{sign}(t_1) & & \\ & \operatorname{sign}(t_1 t_2) & \\ & & \operatorname{sign}(t_2) \end{pmatrix},$$

and $\mu^{w_l} = (\mu_3, \mu_2, \mu_1)$. Thus we only need an analytic expression for $W(y, \mu, \psi_{11})$.

We have the double Mellin transform pair [9, 6.1.4, 6.1.5]

$$\begin{aligned} W^*(y, \mu, \psi_{11}) &= -\frac{1}{16\pi^4} \int_{\operatorname{Re}(t)=(2,2)} G(t, \mu) (\pi y_1)^{1-t_1} (\pi y_2)^{1-t_2} dt, \\ G(t, \mu) &= \frac{4}{\pi^2} \int_{(\mathbb{R}^+)^2} W^*(y, \mu, \psi_{11}) (\pi y_1)^{1+t_1} (\pi y_2)^{1+t_2} dy, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$G(t, \mu) = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{t_1-\mu_1}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{t_1-\mu_2}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{t_1-\mu_3}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{t_2+\mu_1}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{t_2+\mu_2}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{t_2+\mu_3}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{t_1+t_2}{2}\right)}.$$

The inverse Mellin form of the Whittaker function also gives the following asymptotics: By shifting the t_1 integral to the right (as we may by the exponential decay of $G(t, s)$), we see that the Whittaker function decays faster than any power of y_1 ; by shifting to the left, we see that the Whittaker function is bounded by $y_1^{1-c_1}$ where $c_1 = \max\{\operatorname{Re}(-\mu_1), \operatorname{Re}(-\mu_2), \operatorname{Re}(-\mu_3)\}$ for y_1 small. The same reasoning applies for y_2 with $c_2 = \max\{\operatorname{Re}(\mu_1), \operatorname{Re}(\mu_2), \operatorname{Re}(\mu_3)\}$. In particular, the Mellin transform of the Whittaker function converges absolutely for $\operatorname{Re}(t_1) > c_1, \operatorname{Re}(t_2) > c_2$.

Using the Jacquet-Whittaker function, the Fourier-Whittaker coefficients of a Maass cusp form φ , for example, are given by

$$\int_{U(\mathbb{Z}) \backslash U(\mathbb{R})} \varphi(uz) \psi_m(u) du = \frac{\rho_\varphi(m)}{|m_1 m_2|} W^*(|m|z; \mu, \psi_{11}),$$

where $\rho_\varphi(m)$ is some constant depending on φ .

3. METHODS

We start with Li's generalization of the Kuznetsov formula, whose complexity leads us to our first technical theorem:

Theorem 11. *Let $\hat{k}(\mu)$ be symmetric in μ , holomorphic in each variable on $-\frac{1}{2} - \epsilon < \operatorname{Re}(\mu_1) \leq \operatorname{Re}(\mu_3) \leq \operatorname{Re}(\mu_2) < \frac{1}{2} + \epsilon$, and satisfy the bound*

$$\hat{k}(\mu) \ll (|\mu_1 - \mu_2| |\mu_1 - \mu_3| |\mu_2 - \mu_3|)^{-\frac{5}{3} - \epsilon},$$

then we have the formula (1), where now

$$H_I(k, \psi_m, \psi_n, (1, 1)) = -\frac{1}{12\pi^5} \int_{\operatorname{Re}(\mu)=(0,0)} \hat{k}(\mu) \prod_{j < k} \frac{\pi}{2} (\mu_k - \mu_j) \tan \frac{\pi}{2} (\mu_k - \mu_j) d\mu,$$

$$H_{w_4}(k, \psi_m, \psi_n, c) = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2 c_1 c_2} \int_{\operatorname{Re}(\mu)=\eta} \hat{k}(\mu) J_{w_4, \mu} \left(\frac{\pi^3 c_2 m_1 n_1 n_2}{c_1^2}, \frac{c_1 m_2}{c_2^2 n_1} \right) d\mu,$$

$$H_{w_5}(k, \psi_m, \psi_n, c) = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2 c_1 c_2} \int_{\operatorname{Re}(\mu)=\eta} \hat{k}(\mu) J_{w_5, \mu} \left(\frac{c_1 m_1}{c_2^2 n_2}, \frac{\pi^3 c_2 m_2 n_1 n_2}{c_1^2} \right) d\mu,$$

$$H_{w_l}(k, \psi_m, \psi_n, c) = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2 c_1 c_2} \int_{\operatorname{Re}(\mu)=\eta} \hat{k}(\mu) J_{w_l, \mu} \left(\frac{\pi^2 c_2 m_1 n_2}{c_1^2}, \frac{\pi^2 c_1 m_2 n_1}{c_2^2} \right) d\mu,$$

with $J_{w, \mu}$ given by the Mellin-Barnes integrals (11), (12), and (10).

This should be regarded as a theorem on the higher-rank hypergeometric functions, in the style of Stade; it assigns to the weight functions H_w good complex analytic expressions, in the form of Mellin-Barnes integral representations, though we strongly suspect that we have not achieved the optimal such representations.

Having the Mellin-Barnes representation as in Theorem 11, we may easily compute a type of first-term asymptotic for $J_{w_l, \mu}$:

Proposition 12. $J_{w_l, \mu}(y) = |y_1|^{-\mu_1} |y_2|^{\mu_2} K_{w_l}(\mu) + \sum_{j=1}^7 E_{w_l, j}(\mu, y)$, where

$$K_{w_l}(\mu) = \frac{1}{\pi^{3/2+3\mu_2}} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1+\mu_1-\mu_3}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1+\mu_2-\mu_1}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1+\mu_2-\mu_3}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\mu_2-\mu_1}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\mu_3-\mu_1}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\mu_2-\mu_3}{2}\right)},$$

and the $E_{w_l, j}$ are given explicitly by equations (14)-(20) and satisfy

$$(2) \quad E_{w_l, j}(\mu, y) = o\left(|y_1|^{-\operatorname{Re}(\mu_1)} |y_2|^{\operatorname{Re}(\mu_2)}\right)$$

as $y \rightarrow 0$ with $\operatorname{Re}(\mu_1) \leq \operatorname{Re}(\mu_3) \leq \operatorname{Re}(\mu_2)$.

The asymptotics (2) are actually power-saving bounds over $|y_1|^{-\operatorname{Re}(\mu_1)} |y_2|^{\operatorname{Re}(\mu_2)}$ which are vital to our purposes, but their dependence on $\operatorname{Re}(\mu_1)$ and $\operatorname{Re}(\mu_2)$ is unfortunately quite complicated.

With this asymptotic of $J_{w_l, \mu}$ and the accompanying explicit error terms, we produce a type of partial inversion to the H_{w_l} transform:

Theorem 13. Let $f : (\mathbb{R}^+)^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\hat{f}(q) := \int_{(\mathbb{R}^+)^2} f(y) y_1^{q_1} y_2^{q_2} \frac{dy_1 dy_2}{y_1 y_2}$$

has sufficient decay and holomorphy for

$$(3) \quad \hat{k}(\mu) = \int_{\operatorname{Re}(q)=\mathbf{q}} \frac{\hat{f}(q)}{K_{w_l}(q_1, -q_2)} \frac{(q_1 + q_2)^2 (2q_1 - q_2) (2q_2 - q_1)}{(q_1 - \mu_1) (q_1 - \mu_2) (q_1 - \mu_3) (q_2 + \mu_1) (q_2 + \mu_2) (q_2 + \mu_3)} dq,$$

to satisfy the hypotheses of Theorem 11. Then we again have the formula (1), where now

$$H_{w_l}(k, \psi_m, \psi_n, c) = \frac{1}{c_1 c_2} f\left(\frac{\pi^2 c_2 m_1 n_2}{c_1^2}, \frac{\pi^2 c_1 m_2 n_1}{c_2^2}\right) + \frac{1}{c_1 c_2} \sum_{j=1}^{10} F_j\left(\hat{f}; \left(\frac{\pi^2 c_2 m_1 n_2}{c_1^2}, \frac{\pi^2 c_1 m_2 n_1}{c_2^2}\right)\right),$$

and the F_j are given explicitly by equations (21)-(24) and satisfy

$$(4) \quad F_j(\hat{f}; y) = o\left(|y_1|^{-q_1} |y_2|^{-q_2}\right)$$

as $y \rightarrow 0$ with $\operatorname{Re}(\mu_1) \leq \operatorname{Re}(\mu_3) \leq \operatorname{Re}(\mu_2)$.

Again, (4) does not quite do justice to the actual bounds we obtain.

One should note that this is an incomplete generalization to $SL(3, \mathbb{R})$ of the second form of Kuznetsov's formula on $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$; it allows us to study sums of Kloosterman sums by applying knowledge of the Fourier-Whittaker coefficients of automorphic forms. As the asymptotic in Proposition 12 is for $y_1, y_2 \rightarrow 0$, this

partial inversion formula is effective when studying sums of Kloosterman sums with $\frac{1}{2} < \frac{\log c_1}{\log c_2} < 2$, i.e. when each of the moduli is at least the square-root of the other. One would expect that in practice, the remaining terms, i.e. those having $c_1 < \sqrt{c_2}$ or $c_2 < \sqrt{c_1}$, will be small. Similarly, we expect that the sums of Kloosterman sums for the intermediate Weyl elements w_4 and w_5 will tend to be small compared to the long-element sum and the trivial term H_I .

The formulae used above and in Theorem 5 depend strictly on the location of the contours in the Mellin-Barnes integrals for each $J_{w,\mu}$, so we conclude the paper with some bounds to demonstrate their absolute convergence at the relevant locations, which are unfortunately not entirely trivial. The bounds we obtain are most likely not optimal in their dependence on μ , but they are sufficient for our purposes here.

4. EVALUATION OF THE INTEGRAL TRANSFORMS

We will need a number of elementary results to obtain the Kuznetsov formula above, so we collect them here.

4.0.1. *Mellin Transforms.* Define

$$e_\theta(x) = \begin{cases} e\left(x \exp\left(i\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \theta\right) \operatorname{sign}(x)\right)\right) & \text{if } x \neq 0, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Note that e_θ is no longer a character of $\mathbb{R}$.

We have the Mellin transform

$$\int_0^\infty e(ay) y^{t-1} dy = (-2\pi ia)^{-t} \Gamma(t),$$

for $\operatorname{Re}(t) > 0$ and $\operatorname{Im}(a) > 0$ (since it holds for $-2\pi ia \in \mathbb{R}^+$ by substitution in the Euler integral representation of the gamma function and extends by analytic continuation), and Mellin inversion gives

$$e(ay) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(c)} (-2\pi ia)^{-t} \Gamma(t) y^{-t} dt,$$

for $c > 0$ and $\operatorname{Im}(a) > 0$.

As we are dealing with the principal value of the power function, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(-2\pi ix \exp\left(i\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \theta\right) \operatorname{sign}(x)\right)\right)^{-t} &= (2\pi |x| \exp(-i\theta \operatorname{sign}(x)))^{-t} \\ &= e^{-t(\log|2\pi x| - i\theta \operatorname{sign}(x))} \\ &= |2\pi x|^{-t} e^{it\theta \operatorname{sign}(x)}. \end{aligned}$$

The construction of $e_\theta(x)$ is such that the argument of the exponential always has a negative real part, so applying the previous two formulae gives

$$(5) \quad e_\theta(x) = \frac{1}{4\pi^{3/2}i} \int_{(c)} |\pi x|^{-t} e^{it\theta \operatorname{sign}(x)} \Gamma\left(\frac{t}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{t+1}{2}\right) dt,$$

for $x \neq 0$ and $c > 0$.

We also have the Mellin transform

$$(6) \quad \int_0^\infty (1+x^2)^u x^t dx = \frac{1}{2} B\left(\frac{t+1}{2}, \frac{-2u-t-1}{2}\right),$$

for $0 < \operatorname{Re}(t) < -2\operatorname{Re}(u)$. Here $B(u, v) = \frac{\Gamma(u)\Gamma(v)}{\Gamma(u+v)}$ is the beta function.

4.0.2. *The G Function.* We start with $G^*(u, \mu) := \frac{G(u, \mu)}{\Lambda(\mu)}$, then the G function has poles at $u_1 = \mu_i$ and $-u_2 = \mu_i$, so up to permutations, we may assume a pole is at $u_1 = \mu_1$, then the residue of $G^*(u, \mu)$ there is given by

$$G_l^*(1, u_2, \mu) := 2\pi^{\frac{3}{2}-\mu_1+\mu_2} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\mu_1-\mu_2}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\mu_1-\mu_3}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1+\mu_2-\mu_1}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1+\mu_3-\mu_1}{2}\right)} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{u_2+\mu_2}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{u_2+\mu_3}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1+\mu_2-\mu_3}{2}\right)}.$$

For the other poles, we let $G_l^*(j, u_2, \mu)$ be the residue at $u_1 = \mu_j$, and $G_r^*(j, u_1, \mu)$ the residue at $-u_2 = \mu_j$.

The residue at $u_1 = \mu_1$ again has poles at $-u_2 = \mu_2, \mu_3$, and we assume $-u_2 = \mu_2$, giving the residue

$$G_b^*(1, 2, \mu) := 4\pi^{\frac{3}{2}-\mu_1+\mu_2} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\mu_1-\mu_2}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\mu_1-\mu_3}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\mu_3-\mu_2}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1+\mu_2-\mu_1}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1+\mu_3-\mu_1}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1+\mu_2-\mu_3}{2}\right)}.$$

In general, let $G_b^*(j, k, \mu)$ be the residue at $(u_1, -u_2) = (\mu_j, \mu_k)$ for $j \neq k$.

4.1. **The General Term.** In Li's construction of the Kuznetsov formula, the final step involves integrating away some extra variables on the spectral side, using the following theorem of Stade [24] on $SL(n, \mathbb{R})$:

Theorem 14 (Stade). *For $\text{Re}(s) \geq 1$,*

$$\begin{aligned} & 2^{n-1} \Gamma\left(\frac{ns}{2}\right) \int_{(\mathbb{R}^+)^{n-1}} W^*(y, \mu, \psi_{1,\dots,1}) W^*(y, \mu', \psi_{1,\dots,1}) d\nu_s(y) \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^n \prod_{k=1}^n \Gamma\left(\frac{s + \mu_j + \mu'_k}{2}\right), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$d\nu_s(y) = \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} (\pi y_j)^{(n-j)s} \frac{dy_j}{y_j^{1+j(n-j)}} = \left(\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} (\pi y_j)^{(n-j)s} \right) dy.$$

Li obtains her final Kuznetsov formula by applying Stade's formula at $s = 1$, but this will make it impossible to obtain a function which is nicely holomorphic in the region we require. Instead we will apply Stade's formula at $s = 2$, which results in a weight function on the spectral side which is actually too large. So we replace $\hat{k}$ with

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{k}(\mu) &= \tilde{k}(\mu) \frac{2\Gamma\left(\frac{6}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^3 \prod_{j < k} \Gamma\left(\frac{1+\mu_k-\mu_j}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1+\mu_j-\mu_k}{2}\right)}{2\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{2}{2}\right)^3 \prod_{j < k} \Gamma\left(\frac{2+\mu_j-\mu_k}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{2+\mu_k-\mu_j}{2}\right)} \\ &= \frac{4}{\pi} \tilde{k}(\mu) \prod_{j < k} \frac{\tan \frac{\pi}{2} (\mu_j - \mu_k)}{(\mu_j - \mu_k)}, \end{aligned}$$

and the spectral side is now of the correct magnitude as a function of $\tilde{k}$. Here we are taking for granted the property that $-\bar{\mu}$ is some permutation of μ .

Before we truly start the simplification process, we must engage in a series of transformations: Since $\psi_m(x) = \psi_{11}(mxm^{-1})$, and $|m|m^{-1} \in V$, conjugating by

m^{-1} and by tn^{-1} we have

$$H_w = \frac{|m_1 m_2 n_1 n_2|}{(m_1 m_2)^2 C_w(n)} \int_{(\mathbb{R}^+)^2} \int_{U(\mathbb{R})} \int_{\overline{U}_w(\mathbb{R})} k(t^{-1} x^{-1} \alpha w t x') \overline{\psi_{11}(x) \psi_t(x')} dx' dx C_w(t) t_2^4 t_1^2 dt,$$

where

$$\alpha = m c w n^{-1} w^{-1} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 \alpha_2 & & \\ & \alpha_1 & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{\mathbb{R}^+},$$

and $C_w(y)$ is the Jacobian of the change of variables $u \mapsto y u y^{-1}$ for $u \in U_w(\mathbb{R})$. Note that α_1 and α_2 may be negative! Now interchange the x and x' integrals. Then if $w x' = x^* y^* \pmod{K}$ and $t^w = w t w^{-1}$, we may translate and invert $x^{-1}(\alpha t^w) x^* (\alpha t^w)^{-1} \mapsto x$.

$$H_w = \frac{|m_1 m_2 n_1 n_2|}{(m_1 m_2)^2 C_w(n)} \int_{(\mathbb{R}^+)^2} \int_{\overline{U}_w(\mathbb{R})} \int_{U(\mathbb{R})} k(t^{-1} x \alpha t^w y^*) \overline{\psi_{11}(x) \psi_{\alpha t^w}(x^*) \psi_t(x')} dx dx' C_w(t) t_2^4 t_1^2 dt.$$

Since k is a function on $K \backslash G / K$, it is invariant under transposition of its argument, so send $(\alpha t^w y^*)^{-1} x (\alpha t^w y^*) \mapsto x$ and transpose, giving

$$H_w = \frac{|m_1 m_2 n_1 n_2|}{(m_1 m_2)^2 C_w(n)} \int_{(\mathbb{R}^+)^2} \int_{\overline{U}_w(\mathbb{R})} \int_{U(\mathbb{R})} k({}^t x \alpha t^w y^* t^{-1}) \overline{\psi_{\alpha t^w y^*}(x)} dx \psi_{\alpha t^w}(x^*) \overline{\psi_t(x')} p_{2\rho}(\alpha t^w y^*) dx' C_w(t) t_2^4 t_1^2 dt.$$

We now apply spherical inversion. This will require some care with respect to convergence of the integrals, but the integral in x can be evaluated explicitly as in the following lemma.

Lemma 15 (Fourier Transform of the Spherical Function). *For $\operatorname{Re}(\mu_1), \operatorname{Re}(\mu_2) \in (-\frac{1}{8}, 0)$, let*

$$X(y, \mu, \psi) = \int_{U(\mathbb{R})} h_\mu({}^t x y) \psi(x) dx,$$

where the integrals over x_1 and x_2 are taken in the limit sense, then

$$X(y, \mu, \psi) = \kappa W(y^{-1}, -\mu, \psi) W(I, \mu, \psi),$$

where

$$\frac{1}{\kappa} = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq 3} B\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{j-i}{2}\right) = 2\pi^2.$$

Note: We expect this formula to hold on $SL(n, \mathbb{R})$ for arbitrary n , but the interchange of integrals might be difficult to justify.

Applying spherical inversion, we may shift the integrals in μ_1 and μ_2 slightly to the left of the 0 line and apply the above lemma. Note that, despite appearances, we have an expression for $|c_3(\mu)|^2$ which is analytic in μ . After moving μ back to

the 0 lines, we have

$$H_w = -\frac{|m_1 m_2 n_1 n_2|}{12\pi^9 (m_1 m_2)^2 C_w(n)} \int_{(\mathbb{R}^+)^2} \int_{\overline{U}_w(\mathbb{R})} \int_{\operatorname{Re}(\mu)=(0,0)} \frac{\hat{k}(\mu)}{|c_3(\mu)|^2} \\ W((\alpha t^w y^*)^{-1} t, -\mu, \overline{\psi_{\alpha t^w y^*}}) W(I, \mu, \overline{\psi_{\alpha t^w y^*}}) d\mu \\ \psi_{\alpha t^w}(x^*) \overline{\psi_t}(x') p_{2\rho}(\alpha t^w y^*) dx' C_w(t) t_2^4 t_1^2 dt.$$

We then return $\alpha t^w y^*$ to the argument of the Whittaker function:

$$(7) \quad H_w = -\frac{|m_1 m_2 n_1 n_2|}{12\pi^9 (m_1 m_2)^2 C_w(n)} \int_{(\mathbb{R}^+)^2} \int_{\overline{U}_w(\mathbb{R})} \int_{\operatorname{Re}(\mu)=(0,0)} \frac{\hat{k}(\mu)}{|c_3(\mu)|^2} \\ W(t, -\mu, \psi_{11}) W(\alpha t^w y^*, \mu, \psi_{11}) d\mu \psi_{\alpha t^w}(x^*) \overline{\psi_t}(x') dx' C_w(t) t_2^4 t_1^2 dt.$$

For all but the trivial term, we will use the Mellin expansion of the second Whittaker function. We will shift the lines of integration in this Mellin expansion $\operatorname{Re}(u) \mapsto -\frac{1}{2} - 10\epsilon$, picking up poles at $u_1 = \mu_i$ and $-u_2 = \mu_i$. As $\hat{k}$, c_3 , and the product

$$W(z, -\mu, \psi_{11}) W(z', \mu, \psi_{11}) = \frac{W^*(z, -\mu, \psi_{11}) W^*(z', \mu, \psi_{11})}{\Lambda(-\mu) \Lambda(\mu)}$$

are invariant under permutations of μ , we may collect like terms, leaving us with four pieces: The pole at $u_1 = \mu_2$, $u_2 = -\mu_2$; the pole at $u_1 = \mu_1$ with an integral along $\operatorname{Re}(u_2) = -\frac{1}{2} - 10\epsilon$; the pole at $u_2 = -\mu_2$ with an integral along $\operatorname{Re}(u_1) = -\frac{1}{2} - 10\epsilon$; the double integral along $\operatorname{Re}(u) = -\frac{1}{2} - 10\epsilon$. Then we shift $\operatorname{Re}(\mu_1, \mu_2) \mapsto (-\frac{1}{2} - 9\epsilon, \frac{1}{2} + 9\epsilon)$, which is possible since $\frac{|c_n(\mu)|^{-2}}{\Lambda(\mu)\Lambda(-\mu)}$ has no poles. Thus we are evaluating

$$H_w = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2 c_1 c_2} \int_{\operatorname{Re}(\mu)=(-\frac{1}{2}-9\epsilon, \frac{1}{2}+9\epsilon)} \tilde{k}(\mu) J'_{w,\mu}(\alpha) d\mu, \\ (8) \quad J'_{w,\mu}(\alpha) = \frac{|m_1 m_2 n_1 n_2| c_1 c_2}{24\pi^5 (m_1 m_2)^2 C_w(n)} \left(\prod_{j < k} \tan^2 \frac{\pi}{2} (\mu_j - \mu_k) \right) \int_{(\mathbb{R}^+)^2} W(t, -\mu, \psi_{11}) \\ \left(\frac{-1}{16\pi^4} \int_{\operatorname{Re}(u)=-\frac{1}{2}-10\epsilon} G^*(u, \mu) X'_w(u, v, \beta, t) (\pi \beta_1 t_1^w)^{1-u_1} (\pi \beta_2 t_2^w)^{1-u_2} du \right. \\ + \frac{-3i}{8\pi^3} (\pi \beta_1 t_1^w)^{1-\mu_1} \int_{\operatorname{Re}(u_2)=-\frac{1}{2}-10\epsilon} G_l^*(1, u_2, \mu) X'_w((\mu_1, u_2), v, \beta, t) (\pi \beta_2 t_2^w)^{1-u_2} du \\ + \frac{-3i}{8\pi^3} (\pi \beta_2 t_2^w)^{1+\mu_2} \int_{\operatorname{Re}(u_1)=-\frac{1}{2}-10\epsilon} G_r^*(2, u_1, \mu) X'_w((u_1, -\mu_2), v, \beta, t) (\pi \beta_1 t_1^w)^{1-u_1} du \\ \left. + \frac{6}{4\pi^2} (\pi \beta_1 t_1^w)^{1-\mu_1} (\pi \beta_2 t_2^w)^{1+\mu_2} G_b^*(1, 2, \mu) X'_w((\mu_1, -\mu_2), v, \beta, t) \right) C_w(t) t_2^4 t_1^2 dt, \\ X'_w(u, v, \beta, t) = \int_{\overline{U}_w(\mathbb{R})} \psi_{v\beta t^w}(x^*) \overline{\psi_t}(x') y_1^{*1-u_1} y_2^{*1-u_2} dx',$$

where $\beta = |\alpha|$, $v = \operatorname{sign}(\alpha)$, and we justify the interchange of integrals by explicitly computing y^* , which shows that, in general, X'_w converges absolutely for some region in $\operatorname{Re}(u_1), \operatorname{Re}(u_2) < 0$. This will further show that the t integral converges

absolutely as well as the sum of Kloosterman sums. This step was a technical necessity, as we did not know it was safe to pull the t integral inside the sum of Kloosterman sums until right now, but having done so, we may forget about the sum of Kloosterman sums.

The function X'_w is a type of generalized hypergeometric function. For fixed v , it is a function of four variables $\beta_1, \beta_2, t_1, t_2$ with two parameters u_1 and u_2 . The object of the remainder of the analysis will be to obtain a Mellin-Barnes integral representation for this function; this is accomplished by brute force: By judicious use of the e_θ function, and absolute convergence of the t , μ , u , and x' integrals, we will write H_w as a limit over θ :

$$\begin{aligned} J'_{w,\mu}(\alpha) &= \frac{1}{24\pi^3} \left(\prod_{j < k} \tan^2 \frac{\pi}{2} (\mu_j - \mu_k) \right) \lim_{\theta \rightarrow \frac{\pi}{2}^-} \int_{(\mathbb{R}^+)^2} W(t, -\mu, \psi_{11}) \\ &\quad \left(\frac{-1}{16\pi^2} \int_{\operatorname{Re}(u) = -\frac{1}{2} - 10\epsilon} G^*(u, \mu) X'_w(u, v, \beta, t, \theta) (\pi\beta_1 t_1^w)^{-u_1} (\pi\beta_2 t_2^w)^{-u_2} du \right. \\ &\quad + \frac{-3i}{8\pi} (\pi\beta_1 t_1^w)^{-\mu_1} \int_{\operatorname{Re}(u_2) = -\frac{1}{2} - 10\epsilon} G_l^*(1, u_2, \mu) X'_w((\mu_1, u_2), v, \beta, t, \theta) (\pi\beta_2 t_2^w)^{-u_2} du \\ &\quad + \frac{-3i}{8\pi} (\pi\beta_2 t_2^w)^{\mu_2} \int_{\operatorname{Re}(u_1) = -\frac{1}{2} - 10\epsilon} G_r^*(2, u_1, \mu) X'_w((u_1, -\mu_2), v, \beta, t, \theta) (\pi\beta_1 t_1^w)^{-u_1} du \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{6}{4} (\pi\beta_1 t_1^w)^{-\mu_1} (\pi\beta_2 t_2^w)^{\mu_2} G_b^*(1, 2, \mu) X'_w((\mu_1, -\mu_2), v, \beta, t, \theta) \right) t_1^5 t_2^3 dt, \end{aligned}$$

since in every case, we have

$$\frac{|m_1 m_2 n_1 n_2| \beta_1 \beta_2}{(m_1 m_2)^2 C_w(n)} = \frac{1}{c_1 c_2}, \quad C_w(t) (t_1^w t_2^w) t_2^4 t_1^2 = t_1^5 t_2^3.$$

Suppose that we have

$$\begin{aligned} X'_w(u, v, \beta, t, \theta) &= \int_{\operatorname{Re}(s)=\eta} \int_{\operatorname{Re}(r)=\eta'} T'_w(u, s, r, v, \theta) (\pi\beta_1)^{-s_1} (\pi\beta_2)^{-s_2} \\ &\quad (\pi t_1)^{f_1(r,s)} (\pi t_2)^{f_2(r,s)} dr ds, \end{aligned}$$

where $r = (r_1, \dots, r_k)$ and η, η' are chosen to maintain absolute convergence. Explicitly, the absolute convergence of the t integral requires $\operatorname{Re}(4 + f_1(r, s)) > \frac{1}{2}$ and $\operatorname{Re}(2 + f_2(r, s)) > \frac{1}{2}$. Then we may again apply the Mellin transform of the Whittaker function, so

$$(9) \quad H_w = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2 c_1 c_2} \int_{\operatorname{Re}(\mu)=\eta} \tilde{k}(\mu) J'_{w,\mu}(\alpha) d\mu,$$

where

$$J'_{w,\mu}(y) = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \int_{\operatorname{Re}(s)=s} |\pi y_1|^{-s_1} |\pi y_2|^{-s_2} N'_w(s, \mu, \operatorname{sign}(y)) ds,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
N'_w(s, \mu, v) = & \left(\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \int_{\operatorname{Re}(u)=u} G^*(u, \mu) R'_w(s, u, v) du \right. \\
& + \frac{3}{2\pi i} \int_{\operatorname{Re}(u_2)=u_2} G_l^*(1, u_2, \mu) R'_w(s, (\mu_1, u_2), v) du_2 \\
& + \frac{3}{2\pi i} \int_{\operatorname{Re}(u_1)=u_1} G_r^*(2, u_1, \mu) R'_w(s, (u_1, -\mu_2), v) du_1 \\
& \left. + 6G_b^*(1, 2, \mu) R'_w(s, (\mu_1, -\mu_2), v) \right) \prod_{j < k} \tan^2 \frac{\pi}{2} (\mu_k - \mu_j),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
R'_w(s, u, v) = & -\frac{\pi^{u_1^w + u_2^w}}{24\pi} \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \int_{\operatorname{Re}(r)=r} G^*((4, 2) + f(r, s) - u^w, -\mu) \\
& T'_w \left(u, s - u, r, v, \frac{\pi}{2} \right) dr.
\end{aligned}$$

with u^w defined by $t_1^{u_1^w} t_2^{u_2^w} = (t_1^w)^{u_1} (t_2^w)^{u_2}$, assuming we have absolute convergence at $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$.

This will conclude the construction of the formula. We will compute the bounds for these weight functions in a separate section below.

4.1.1. Fourier Transform of the Spherical Function. The proof of Lemma 15 has three parts: First we give an integral formula for the spherical function; this is a slight extension of a formula in Terras [25, 3.30]. Then we justify an interchange of integrals in the absence of absolute convergence; essentially, we find an integral formula for the Jacquet-Whittaker function having a slightly larger region of absolute convergence. Lastly, some translation is needed to show the integrals we find are actually each the Jacquet-Whittaker function.

We define the K -part function on G as $K(xyk) = k$, then the power function identity [25, 3.17]

$$p_{\rho+\mu}(K({}^t x)z) = p_{\rho+\mu}({}^t xz)p_{-\rho-\mu}({}^t x)$$

comes from the decomposition ${}^t x = x_1 y_1 k_1$:

$$p_{\rho+\mu}({}^t xz) = p_{\rho+\mu}(x_1 y_1 k_1 z) = p_{\rho+\mu}(y_1) p_{\rho+\mu}(k_1 z).$$

We also need the change of variables formula [25, Lemma 4.3.2]

$$\int_{K/V} f(\bar{k}) d\bar{k} = \kappa \int_{U(\mathbb{R})} f(K({}^t x)V) p_{2\rho}({}^t x) dx,$$

where the measure on K/V is again normalized to $\int_{K/V} d\bar{k} = 1$ Then we expand

$$\begin{aligned}
h_\mu(z) &= \int_{K/V} \sum_{v \in V} p_{\rho+\mu}(\bar{k}vz) \frac{d\bar{k}}{|V|} \\
&= \int_{K/V} p_{\rho+\mu}(\bar{k}z) d\bar{k} \\
&= \kappa \int_{U(\mathbb{R})} p_{\rho+\mu}(K({}^t u)z) p_{2\rho}({}^t u) du \\
&= \kappa \int_{U(\mathbb{R})} p_{\rho+\mu}({}^t uz) p_{\rho-\mu}({}^t u) du,
\end{aligned}$$

by substituting $v\bar{k}v \mapsto \bar{k}$ in the first integral. Intuitively, if we could pull the x integral of X inside the u integral of h_μ and send $xu \mapsto x$, then we would have a product of two Whittaker functions; however, we have absolute convergence of the combined x and u integral for no values of μ .

Applying this integral representation of h_μ to X , we have

$$X(y, \mu, \psi) = \kappa \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{[-R, R]^2 \times \mathbb{R}} \int_{U(\mathbb{R})} p_{\rho+\mu}({}^t u {}^t xy) p_{\rho-\mu}({}^t u) du \psi(x) dx,$$

and the integrals inside the limit converge absolutely. We may then interchange the integrals and send $xu \mapsto x$, and for convenience, we also send ${}^t x \mapsto y {}^t xy^{-1}$, giving

$$X = \kappa p_{-\rho+\mu}(y) \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{U(\mathbb{R})} \int_{\mathcal{X}(u, y, R) \times \mathbb{R}} p_{\rho+\mu}({}^t x) \psi^*(x) dx p_{\rho-\mu}({}^t u) \overline{\psi(u)} du,$$

where $\mathcal{X}(u, y, R)$ is the result of applying these transforms to the box $[-R, R]^2$, and $\psi^*(x) = \psi(y^{-1}xy)$.

Now we need only to rearrange the x integral into an absolutely convergent form as the u integral will then converge absolutely by our assumptions on μ . To that end, we separate the x_3 integral

$$\begin{aligned} X_3(x_1, x_2, \mu) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} p_{\rho+\mu}({}^t x) dx_3 \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} (1 + x_1^2 + x_3^2)^{-\frac{1+\mu_1+2\mu_2}{2}} (1 + x_2^2 + (x_3 - x_1x_2)^2)^{-\frac{1+\mu_1-\mu_2}{2}} dx_3, \end{aligned}$$

and for convenience, we write $X_3(s_1, s_2) = X_3(x_1, x_2, \mu)$ where $s_1 = -\frac{1+\mu_1+2\mu_2}{2}$ and $s_2 = -\frac{1+\mu_1-\mu_2}{2}$.

A quick and useful bound for X_3 comes from applying Cauchy-Schwarz:

$$\begin{aligned} |X_3(s_1, s_2)| &\leq \sqrt{\int_{\mathbb{R}} (1 + x_1^2 + x_3^2)^{2\operatorname{Re}(s_1)} dx_3} \sqrt{\int_{\mathbb{R}} (1 + x_2^2 + (x_3 - x_1x_2)^2)^{2\operatorname{Re}(s_2)} dx_3} \\ &= \sqrt{\pi \frac{\Gamma(-\frac{1}{2} - 2\operatorname{Re}(s_1)) \Gamma(-\frac{1}{2} - 2\operatorname{Re}(s_2))}{\Gamma(-2\operatorname{Re}(s_1)) \Gamma(-2\operatorname{Re}(s_2))}} (1 + x_1^2)^{\operatorname{Re}(s_1)+\frac{1}{4}} (1 + x_2^2)^{\operatorname{Re}(s_2)+\frac{1}{4}} \\ &= C(s) (1 + x_1^2)^{\operatorname{Re}(s_1)+\frac{1}{4}} (1 + x_2^2)^{\operatorname{Re}(s_2)+\frac{1}{4}}, \end{aligned}$$

assuming $\operatorname{Re}(s_1), \operatorname{Re}(s_2) < -\frac{1}{4}$.

Substituting $x_3 \mapsto x_1x_3$ gives

$$X_3 = x_1^{2(s_1+s_2)+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (x_1^{-2} + 1 + x_3^2)^{s_1} \left(\frac{1+x_2^2}{x_1^2} + (x_3 - x_2^2)^2 \right)^{s_2} dx_3,$$

so

$$\frac{\partial X_3}{\partial x_1} = \frac{2(s_1 + s_2) + 1}{x_1} X_3(s_1, s_2) - 2 \frac{s_1}{x_1} X_3(s_1 - 1, s_2) - 2s_2 \frac{1 + x_2^2}{x_1} X_3(s_1, s_2 - 1).$$

Similarly,

$$\frac{\partial X_3}{\partial x_2} = \frac{2(s_1 + s_2) + 1}{x_2} X_3(s_1, s_2) - 2s_1 \frac{1 + x_1^2}{x_2} X_3(s_1 - 1, s_2) - 2 \frac{s_2}{x_2} X_3(s_1, s_2 - 1),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial^2 X_3}{\partial x_2 \partial x_1} &= \frac{(2(s_1 + s_2) + 1)^2}{x_1 x_2} X_3(s_1, s_2) \\
&\quad - 2s_1 \frac{2(s_1 + s_2)(2 + x_1^2) + x_1^2}{x_1 x_2} X_3(s_1 - 1, s_2) \\
&\quad - 2s_2 \frac{2(s_1 + s_2)(2 + x_2^2) + x_2^2}{x_1 x_2} X_3(s_1, s_2 - 1) \\
&\quad + 4s_1 s_2 \frac{1 + (1 + x_1^2)(1 + x_2)^2}{x_1 x_2} X_3(s_1 - 1, s_2 - 1) \\
&\quad + 4s_1(s_1 - 1) \frac{1 + x_1^2}{x_1 x_2} X_3(s_1 - 2, s_2) \\
&\quad + 4s_2(s_2 - 1) \frac{1 + x_2^2}{x_1 x_2} X_3(s_1, s_2 - 2).
\end{aligned}$$

By comparing the powers of x_1 and x_2 in each of the three partial derivatives of X_3 against the given bound for the corresponding $X_3(s_1 - a, s_2 - b)$, we see that integration by parts causes problems near zero, but will give us convergence on an integral which is bounded away from zero, so we now split the plane into four regions (nine total components) as x_1 and x_2 have magnitude smaller or larger than 1. On the region $|x_1| \leq 1, |x_2| \leq 1$, we do nothing, as this integral converges absolutely without our help. On the region $|x_1| \leq 1, |x_2| > 1$, we integrate by parts in x_2 . On the region $|x_1| > 1, |x_2| \leq 1$, we integrate by parts in x_1 . On the region $|x_1| > 1, |x_2| > 1$, we integrate by parts in both x_1 and x_2 .

Note that the only dependence on u in the x integral is to position the center of the box $\mathcal{X}$. The integrals over the regions, after the appropriate integration by parts, now converge absolutely (assuming $\epsilon < \frac{1}{4}$), hence the integral over the interior of the box is bounded and converges pointwise in u as $R \rightarrow \infty$. We have two types of boundary coming from the integration by parts: The first is the boundary of the box, whose integral is bounded and tends to 0 for each fixed u as $R \rightarrow \infty$, after the appropriate integration by parts. The second set are the boundaries of each of the above regions: For the lines $x_1 = \pm 1$, integrate by parts in x_1 to obtain an absolutely convergent integral, and for $x_2 = \pm 1$, integrate by parts in x_2 ; again, the integral over the portion of these lines which falls in the box now converges absolutely, hence is bounded and converges pointwise in u . Lastly, the value of X_3 at the intersection of the box and these lines is bounded and tends to zero pointwise in u . Thus, by dominated convergence, we may move the limit inside the s and u integrals to obtain an absolutely convergent integral.

After pulling the limit as $R \rightarrow \infty$ inside the u integral, the x and u integrals separate, with the u integral converging absolutely. Now we undo the substitution ${}^t x \mapsto y {}^t x y^{-1}$. To finish, we first note that a symmetry of the power function [25, Prop. 4.2.1 (4)]: With $\mu^{w_l} = (\mu_3, \mu_2, \mu_1)$, we have

$$p_\mu(xy) = p_\mu(y) = p_{-\mu^{w_l}}(w_l y^{-1}) = p_{-\mu^{w_l}}(w_l {}^t(xy)^{-1}),$$

so the Jacquet-Whittaker function may be written as

$$W(y^{-1}, -\mu^{w_l}, \psi) = \int_{U(\mathbb{R})} p_{\rho+\mu}({}^t xy) \psi(x) dx,$$

by sending $x \mapsto vx^{-1}v$ for $v = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & -1 & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and noticing that $-\rho^{w_i} = \rho$. Thus the rearranged x integral (now consisting of integrals over nine regions, twelve lines, and four points in the x_1, x_2 plane) is, by construction, an analytic continuation of the Whittaker function to the double half-plane $\text{Re}(\mu_1), \text{Re}(\mu_2) > -\frac{1}{8}$.

Lastly, the product

$$W(z, -\mu, \psi_{11})W(z', \mu, \psi_{11}) = \frac{W^*(z, -\mu, \psi_{11})W^*(z', \mu, \psi_{11})}{\Lambda(-\mu)\Lambda(\mu)}$$

is permutation-invariant in μ , so we may replace $\mu^{w_i} \mapsto \mu$.

4.1.2. Trivial Element Term. Only occurs when $m = n$ and only for the $c = I$ term; the integral over $\overline{U}_w(\mathbb{R})$ is trivial as well. $C_w(y)$ is just 1 since we didn't actually do any substituting, $\alpha = I$, and $x^* = I$, $y^* = t$ since It is already of the form x^*y^* , so pulling the t integral inside in (7) (justified by the absolute convergence of the interchanged form) gives

$$\begin{aligned} H_w &= -\frac{|m_1 m_2 n_1 n_2|}{12\pi^9 (m_1 m_2)^2} \int_{\text{Re}(\mu)=(0,0)} \frac{\hat{k}(\mu)}{|c_3(\mu)|^2 \Lambda(\mu)\Lambda(-\mu)} \\ &\quad \int_{(\mathbb{R}^+)^2} W^*(t, -\mu, \psi_{11})W^*(t, \mu, \psi_{11})t_1^4 t_2^2 dt d\mu \\ &= -\frac{1}{12\pi^5} \int_{\text{Re}(\mu)=(0,0)} \frac{\tilde{k}(\mu)}{|c_3(\mu)|^2 \Lambda(\mu)\Lambda(-\mu) \prod_{j < k} \cos \frac{\pi}{2}(\mu_k - \mu_j)} d\mu \\ &= -\frac{1}{12\pi^5} \int_{\text{Re}(\mu)=(0,0)} \tilde{k}(\mu) \prod_{j < k} \frac{\pi}{2}(\mu_k - \mu_j) \tan \frac{\pi}{2}(\mu_k - \mu_j) d\mu, \end{aligned}$$

by Stade's formula.

4.1.3. Long Element Term. The computational data that is required is

$$C_w(y) = (y_1 y_2)^2, \quad \overline{U}_w(\mathbb{R}) = U(\mathbb{R}), \quad t^w = \left(\frac{1}{t_2}, \frac{1}{t_1} \right),$$

$$\alpha_1 = \frac{c_2 m_1 n_2}{c_1^2}, \quad \alpha_2 = \frac{c_1 m_2 n_1}{c_2^2},$$

$$x_1^* = -\frac{x'_1 + x'_2(x'_1 x'_2 - x'_3)}{1 + x_1'^2 + (x'_1 x'_2 - x'_3)^2}, \quad x_2^* = -\frac{x'_2 + x'_1 x'_3}{1 + x_2'^2 + x_3'^2},$$

$$y_1^* = \frac{\sqrt{1 + x_1'^2 + (x'_1 x'_2 - x'_3)^2}}{1 + x_2'^2 + x_3'^2}, \quad y_2^* = \frac{\sqrt{1 + x_2'^2 + x_3'^2}}{1 + x_1'^2 + (x'_1 x'_2 - x'_3)^2},$$

so that we are evaluating

$$X'_{w_i}(u, v, \beta, t) = \int_{U(\mathbb{R})} e \left(-v_1 \frac{\beta_1}{t_2} x_1^* - v_2 \frac{\beta_2}{t_1} x_2^* + t_1 x'_1 + t_2 x'_2 \right) (y_1^*)^{1-u_1} (y_2^*)^{1-u_2} dx'.$$

We wish to separate the three x' variables, so we start by noticing that $(x'_1 x'_2 - x'_3)^2 + x_1'^2 + 1 = (1 + x_2'^2)x_1'^2 - 2x'_1 x'_2 x'_3 + x_3'^2 + 1$; sending $x'_1 \mapsto \frac{x'_1}{\sqrt{1+x_2'^2}}$ and

$x'_3 \mapsto x'_3 \sqrt{1+x_2'^2}$ the expression becomes $(x'_1 - x'_2 x'_3)^2 + x_3'^2 + 1$ and lastly we send $x'_1 - x'_2 x'_3 \mapsto x'_1 \sqrt{1+x_2'^2}$:

$$\begin{aligned} X'_{w_l} = & \int_{U(\mathbb{R})} e \left(v_1 \frac{\beta_1}{t_2} \frac{x'_1 \sqrt{1+x_2'^2}}{(1+x_1'^2) \sqrt{1+x_3'^2}} + v_2 \frac{\beta_2}{t_1} \frac{x'_2}{1+x_2'^2} + v_2 \frac{\beta_2}{t_1} \frac{x'_1 x'_3}{(1+x_2'^2) \sqrt{1+x_3'^2}} \right) \\ & e \left(t_1 \frac{x'_2 x'_3}{\sqrt{1+x_2'^2}} + t_1 \frac{x'_1 \sqrt{1+x_3'^2}}{\sqrt{1+x_2'^2}} + t_2 x'_2 \right) \\ & (1+x_1'^2)^{\frac{-1-u_1+2u_2}{2}} (1+x_2'^2)^{\frac{-1+2u_1-u_2}{2}} (1+x_3'^2)^{\frac{-1+u_1+u_2}{2}} dx'. \end{aligned}$$

For each of the six terms in the exponential, we replace $e(\cdot) \mapsto e_\theta(\cdot)$ and apply its Mellin expansion (5) (interchange by absolute convergence):

$$\begin{aligned} X'_{w_l}(u, v, \beta, t, \theta) &= \frac{1}{(4\pi^{3/2}i)^6} \int_{\text{Re}(r)=\nu} \int_{U(\mathbb{R})} (\pi^2 \beta_1)^{-r_1} (\pi^2 \beta_2)^{-r_2-r_3} (\pi t_1)^{r_2+r_3-r_4-r_5} (\pi t_2)^{r_1-r_6} \\ & \quad |x'_1|^{-r_1-r_3-r_5} |x'_2|^{-r_2-r_4-r_6} |x'_3|^{-r_3-r_4} \\ & \quad \exp -i\theta (r_1 v_1 \text{sign}(x'_1) + r_2 v_2 \text{sign}(x'_2) + r_3 v_2 \text{sign}(x'_1 x'_3)) \\ & \quad \exp -i\theta (r_4 \text{sign}(x'_2 x'_3) + r_5 \text{sign}(x'_1) + r_6 \text{sign}(x'_2)) \\ & \quad (1+x_1'^2)^{\frac{-1-u_1+2u_2+2r_1}{2}} (1+x_2'^2)^{\frac{-1+2u_1-u_2-r_1+2r_2+2r_3+r_4+r_5}{2}} \\ & \quad (1+x_3'^2)^{\frac{-1+u_1+u_2+r_1+r_3-r_5}{2}} dx' \left(\prod_{j=1}^6 \Gamma\left(\frac{r_j}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{r_j+1}{2}\right) \right) dr. \end{aligned}$$

Collecting by sign gives

$$\begin{aligned} X'_{w_l} &= \frac{8}{(4\pi^{3/2}i)^6} \int_{\text{Re}(r)=\nu} (\pi^2 \beta_1)^{-r_1} (\pi^2 \beta_2)^{-r_2-r_3} (\pi t_1)^{r_2+r_3-r_4-r_5} (\pi t_2)^{r_1-r_6} \\ & \quad A'_{w_l}(r, v, \theta) \int_{(\mathbb{R}^+)^3} x_1'^{-r_1-r_3-r_5} x_2'^{-r_2-r_4-r_6} x_3'^{-r_3-r_4} (1+x_1'^2)^{\frac{-1-u_1+2u_2+2r_1}{2}} \\ & \quad (1+x_2'^2)^{\frac{-1+2u_1-u_2-r_1+2r_2+2r_3+r_4+r_5}{2}} (1+x_3'^2)^{\frac{-1+u_1+u_2+r_1+r_3-r_5}{2}} dx' dr, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A'_{w_l} &= \frac{1}{8} \left(\prod_{j=1}^6 2^{1-r_j} \pi^{1/2} \Gamma(r_j) \right) \\ & \quad \sum_{\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3 \in \{\pm 1\}} \exp -i\theta (r_1 v_1 \varepsilon_1 + r_2 v_2 \varepsilon_2 + r_3 v_2 \varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_3 + r_4 \varepsilon_2 \varepsilon_3 + r_5 \varepsilon_1 + r_6 \varepsilon_2) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\prod_{j=1}^6 2^{1-r_j} \pi^{1/2} \Gamma(r_j) \right) (\cos \theta (r_1 v_1 + r_3 v_2 + r_5) \cos \theta (r_2 v_2 + r_4 + r_6) \\ & \quad + \cos \theta (r_1 v_1 - r_3 v_2 + r_5) \cos \theta (r_2 v_2 - r_4 + r_6)) \end{aligned}$$

where $\nu_1, \dots, \nu_6 = \epsilon$ are small compared to $\operatorname{Re}(u_1 - 2u_2) > \frac{1}{2}$, $\operatorname{Re}(-2u_1 + u_2) > \frac{1}{2}$, and $\operatorname{Re}(-u_1 - u_2) > \frac{1}{2}$. The inner integral may be evaluated by (6), so we have

$$\begin{aligned} X'_{w_l} = & \frac{1}{(4\pi^{3/2}i)^6} \int_{\operatorname{Re}(r)=\nu} \pi^{-r_1-r_2-r_3} (\pi\beta_1)^{-r_1} (\pi\beta_2)^{-r_2-r_3} \\ & (\pi t_1)^{r_2+r_3-r_4-r_5} (\pi t_2)^{r_1-r_6} A'_{w_l}(r, v, \theta) \\ & B\left(\frac{1-r_1-r_3-r_5}{2}, \frac{u_1-2u_2-r_1+r_3+r_5}{2}\right) \\ & B\left(\frac{1-r_2-r_4-r_6}{2}, \frac{-2u_1+u_2+r_1-r_2-2r_3-r_5+r_6}{2}\right) \\ & B\left(\frac{1-r_3-r_4}{2}, \frac{-u_1-u_2-r_1+r_4+r_5}{2}\right) dr, \end{aligned}$$

which converges absolutely for $\theta < \frac{\pi}{2}$ because of the exponential decay of the A'_{w_l} function.

Sending $(r_1, r_2+r_3) \mapsto (s_1, s_2)$, $(s_2-r_4-r_5, s_1-r_6) \mapsto (r_1, r_2)$, and $(r_3, r_4-r_3) \mapsto (t_1, t_2)$, we may read off

$$\begin{aligned} T'_{w_l} = & \frac{\pi^{-s_1-s_2}}{(4\pi^{3/2}i)^6} \int_{\operatorname{Re}(t)=\eta_4} A'_{w_l}((s_1, s_2-t_1, t_1, t_1+t_2, s_2-r_1-t_1-t_2, s_1-r_2), v, \theta) \\ & B\left(\frac{1-s_1-s_2+r_1+t_2}{2}, \frac{u_1-2u_2-s_1+s_2-r_1-t_2}{2}\right) \\ & B\left(\frac{1-s_1-s_2+r_2-t_2}{2}, \frac{-2u_1+u_2+2s_1-2s_2+r_1-r_2+t_2}{2}\right) \\ & B\left(\frac{1-2t_1-t_2}{2}, \frac{-u_1-u_2-s_1+s_2-r_1}{2}\right) dt, \\ & f(r, s) = r, \end{aligned}$$

and sending $1+r-u^{w_l} \mapsto r$ and normalizing the powers of π in (9), we have

$$H_{w_l} = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2 c_1 c_2} \int_{\operatorname{Re}(\mu)=\eta} \tilde{k}(\mu) J_{w_l, \mu} \left(\frac{\pi^2 c_2 m_1 n_2}{c_1^2}, \frac{\pi^2 c_1 m_2 n_1}{c_2^2} \right) d\mu,$$

where

$$(10) \quad J_{w_l, \mu}(y) = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \int_{\operatorname{Re}(s)=s} |y_1|^{-s_1} |y_2|^{-s_2} N_{w_l}(s, \mu, \operatorname{sign}(y)) ds,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
N_{w_l}(s, \mu, v) = & \left(\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \int_{\text{Re}(u)=u} G^*(u + (\mu_1, -\mu_2), \mu) \Gamma(s_1 - u_1 - \mu_1) \right. \\
& T_{w_l,1}(s, u + (\mu_1, -\mu_2), v) du \\
& + \frac{3}{2\pi i} \int_{\text{Re}(u_2)=u_2} G_l^*(1, (\mu_1, u_2 - \mu_2), \mu) \Gamma(s_1 - \mu_1) \\
& T_{w_l,1}(s, (\mu_1, u_2 - \mu_2), v) du_2 \\
& + \frac{3}{2\pi i} \int_{\text{Re}(u_1)=u_1} G_r^*(2, (u_1 + \mu_1, -\mu_2), \mu) \Gamma(s_1 - u_1 - \mu_1) \\
& T_{w_l,1}(s, (u_1 + \mu_1, -\mu_2), v) du_1 \\
& \left. + 6G_b^*(1, 2, \mu) \Gamma(s_1 - \mu_1) T_{w_l,1}(s, (\mu_1, -\mu_2), v) \right) \prod_{j < k} \tan^2 \frac{\pi}{2} (\mu_k - \mu_j), \\
\\
T_{w_l,1}(s, u, v) = & \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\text{Re}(t_1)=t_1} \Gamma(t_1) \Gamma(s_2 - u_2 - t_1) R_{w_l}(s, u, v, t_1) dt_1, \\
R_{w_l}(s, u, v, t_1) = & \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \int_{\text{Re}(r)=r} G^*((3, 1) + r, -\mu) \Gamma(1 + s_1 - r_2) \\
& T_{w_l,2}(r, s, u, v, t_1) dr, \\
\\
T_{w_l,2} = & - \frac{2^{u_1+u_2+r_1+r_2-2s_1-2s_2}}{2^{16} 3 \pi^8} \\
& \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\text{Re}(t_2)=t_2} A_{w_l}(r, s, t, u, v) \Gamma(t_1 + t_2) \Gamma(1 + s_2 - r_1 - t_1 - t_2) \\
& B\left(\frac{u_1 - s_1 - s_2 + r_1 + t_2}{2}, \frac{1 + 2u_1 - 2u_2 - s_1 + s_2 - r_1 - t_2}{2}\right) \\
& B\left(\frac{u_2 - s_1 - s_2 + r_2 - t_2}{2}, \frac{-3u_1 + 2u_2 + 2s_1 - 2s_2 + r_1 - r_2 + t_2}{2}\right) \\
& B\left(\frac{1 - 2t_1 - t_2}{2}, \frac{1 - u_2 - s_1 + s_2 - r_1}{2}\right) dt_2, \\
\\
A_{w_l} = & \sin \frac{\pi}{2} (v_1(s_1 - u_1) + v_2 t_1 + s_2 - r_1 - t_1 - t_2) \\
& \sin \frac{\pi}{2} (v_2(s_2 - u_2 - t_1) + t_1 + t_2 + s_1 - r_2) \\
& + \sin \frac{\pi}{2} (v_1(s_1 - u_1) - v_2 t_1 + s_2 - r_1 - t_1 - t_2) \\
& \sin \frac{\pi}{2} (v_2(s_2 - u_2 - t_1) - t_1 - t_2 + s_1 - r_2),
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\eta = \left(-\frac{1}{2} - 9\epsilon, \frac{1}{2} + 9\epsilon \right), \quad u = -\epsilon, \quad s = -\frac{1}{2} - 5\epsilon, \quad r = \frac{1}{3} + 10\epsilon, \quad t = 2\epsilon$$

are sufficient to maintain positivity of the arguments of all the gamma functions.

4.1.4. *The w_4 Term.* The computational data that is required is

$$C_w(y) = y_1 y_2^2, \quad \overline{U}_w(\mathbb{R}) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_2 & x_3 \\ & 1 & 0 \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} : x_2, x_3 \in \mathbb{R} \right\}, \quad t^w = \left(\frac{1}{t_1 t_2}, t_1 \right),$$

$$\alpha_1 = \frac{c_2 m_1 n_1 n_2}{c_1^2}, \quad \alpha_2 = \frac{c_1 m_2}{c_2^2 n_1}$$

$$x_1^* = -\frac{x_2' x_3'}{1 + x_2'^2}, \quad x_2^* = \frac{x_3'}{1 + x_2'^2 + x_3'^2},$$

$$y_1^* = \frac{\sqrt{1 + x_2'^2}}{1 + x_2'^2 + x_3'^2}, \quad y_2^* = \frac{\sqrt{1 + x_2'^2 + x_3'^2}}{1 + x_2'^2},$$

so that we are evaluating

$$X'_{w_4}(u, v, \beta, t) = \int_{\overline{U}_w(\mathbb{R})} e \left(-v_1 \frac{\beta_1}{t_1 t_2} x_1^* - v_2 \beta_2 t_1 x_2^* + t_2 x_2' \right) (y_1^*)^{1-u_1} (y_2^*)^{1-u_2} dx'.$$

Sending $x_3' \mapsto x_3' \sqrt{1 + x_2'^2}$ gives

$$X'_{w_4}(u, v, \beta, t) = \int_{\overline{U}_w(\mathbb{R})} e \left(v_1 \frac{\beta_1}{t_1 t_2} \frac{x_2' x_3'}{\sqrt{1 + x_2'^2}} - v_2 \beta_2 t_1 \frac{x_3'}{\sqrt{1 + x_2'^2} (1 + x_3'^2)} + t_2 x_2' \right) (1 + x_2'^2)^{\frac{-1+u_1+u_2}{2}} (1 + x_3'^2)^{\frac{-1+2u_1-u_2}{2}} dx'.$$

As above, we send $e(\cdot) \mapsto e_\theta(\cdot)$ and apply the Mellin expansion (5):

$$\begin{aligned} & X'_{w_4}(u, v, \beta, t, \theta) \\ &= \frac{1}{(4\pi^{3/2}i)^3} \int_{\text{Re}(r)=\nu} \int_{\overline{U}_w(\mathbb{R})} (\pi^3 \beta_1)^{-r_1} \beta_2^{-r_2} (\pi t_1)^{r_1-r_2} (\pi t_2)^{r_1-r_3} \\ & \quad \exp(-i\theta (r_1 v_1 \text{sign}(x_2' x_3') - r_2 v_2 \text{sign}(x_3') + r_3 \text{sign}(x_2'))) \\ & \quad |x_2'|^{-r_1-r_3} |x_3'|^{-r_1-r_2} (1 + x_2'^2)^{\frac{-1+u_1+u_2+r_1+r_2}{2}} (1 + x_3'^2)^{\frac{-1+2u_1-u_2+2r_2}{2}} dx' \\ & \quad \left(\prod_{j=1}^3 \Gamma\left(\frac{r_j}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{r_j+1}{2}\right) \right) dr. \end{aligned}$$

Splitting by sign and applying the Mellin transform (6) gives

$$\begin{aligned} X'_{w_4} &= \frac{1}{(4\pi^{3/2}i)^3} \int_{\text{Re}(r)=\nu} (\pi^3 \beta_1)^{-r_1} \beta_2^{-r_2} (\pi t_1)^{r_1-r_2} (\pi t_2)^{r_1-r_3} A'_{w_4}(r, v, \theta) \\ & \quad B\left(\frac{1-r_1-r_3}{2}, \frac{-u_1-u_2-r_2+r_3}{2}\right) \\ & \quad B\left(\frac{1-r_1-r_2}{2}, \frac{-2u_1+u_2+r_1-r_2}{2}\right) dr, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
A'_{w_4}(r, v, \theta) &= \frac{1}{4} \left(\prod_{j=1}^3 2^{1-r_j} \pi^{1/2} \Gamma(r_j) \right) \\
&\quad \sum_{\varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3 \in \{\pm 1\}} \exp -i\theta (r_1 v_1 \varepsilon_2 \varepsilon_3 - r_2 v_2 \varepsilon_3 + r_3 \varepsilon_2) \\
&= \left(\prod_{j=1}^3 2^{1-r_j} \pi^{1/2} \Gamma(r_j) \right) \\
&\quad (\cos \theta r_1 \cos \theta r_2 \cos \theta r_3 - i v_1 v_2 \sin \theta r_1 \sin \theta r_2 \sin \theta r_3).
\end{aligned}$$

Sending $r_1 \mapsto s_1$, $r_2 \mapsto s_2$ and $r_3 \mapsto r$, we again read off

$$\begin{aligned}
T'_{w_4} &= \frac{1}{(4\pi^{3/2}i)^3} \pi^{s_2-2s_1} A'_{w_4}((s_1, s_2, r), v, \theta) \\
&\quad B\left(\frac{1-s_1-r}{2}, \frac{-u_1-u_2-s_2+r}{2}\right) \\
&\quad B\left(\frac{1-s_1-s_2}{2}, \frac{-2u_1+u_2+s_1-s_2}{2}\right) \\
f_1(r, s) &= s_1 - s_2 \\
f_2(r, s) &= s_1 - r,
\end{aligned}$$

and we have

$$H_{w_4} = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2 c_1 c_2} \int_{\operatorname{Re}(\mu)=\eta} \tilde{k}(\mu) J_{w_4, \mu} \left(\frac{\pi^3 c_2 m_1 n_1 n_2}{c_1^2}, \frac{c_1 m_2}{c_2^2 n_1} \right) d\mu,$$

where

$$(11) \quad J_{w_4, \mu}(y) = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \int_{\operatorname{Re}(s)=\mathfrak{s}} |y_1|^{-s_1} |y_2|^{-s_2} N_{w_4}(s, \mu, \operatorname{sign}(y)) ds,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
N_{w_4}(s, \mu, v) &= \left(\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \int_{\operatorname{Re}(u)=\mathfrak{u}} G^*(u + (\mu_1, -\mu_2), \mu) R_{w_4}(s, u + (\mu_1, -\mu_2), v) du \right. \\
&\quad + \frac{3}{2\pi i} \int_{\operatorname{Re}(u_2)=\mathfrak{u}_2} G_l^*(1, u_2, \mu) R_{w_4}(s, (\mu_1, u_2 - \mu_2), v) du_2 \\
&\quad + \frac{3}{2\pi i} \int_{\operatorname{Re}(u_1)=\mathfrak{u}_1} G_r^*(2, u_1, \mu) R_{w_4}(s, (u_1 + \mu_1, -\mu_2), v) du_1 \\
&\quad \left. + 6G_b^*(1, 2, \mu) R_{w_4}(s, (\mu_1, -\mu_2), v) \right) \prod_{j < k} \tan^2 \frac{\pi}{2} (\mu_k - \mu_j),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{w_4}(s, u, v) = & -\frac{2^{u_1+u_2-s_1-s_2}}{24\pi(2\pi i)^4} \Gamma(s_1 - u_1) \Gamma(s_2 - u_2) \\
& B\left(\frac{1+u_1+u_2-s_1-s_2}{2}, \frac{-3u_1+2u_2+s_1-s_2}{2}\right) \\
& \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\text{Re}(r)=\mathfrak{r}} 2^{-r} \Gamma(r) A_{w_4}(r, s, u, v) \\
& G^*((4+u_1-u_2+s_1-s_2, 2+u_1+s_1-r), -\mu) \\
& B\left(\frac{1+u_1-s_1-r}{2}, \frac{-u_1-s_2+r}{2}\right) dr,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{w_4} = & \cos \frac{\pi}{2} (s_1 - u_1) \cos \frac{\pi}{2} (s_2 - u_2) \cos \frac{\pi}{2} r \\
& - i v_1 v_2 \sin \frac{\pi}{2} (s_1 - u_1) \sin \frac{\pi}{2} (s_2 - u_2) \sin \frac{\pi}{2} r,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\eta = (-2\epsilon, 2\epsilon), \quad \mathfrak{u} = -\epsilon, \quad \mathfrak{s} = -\epsilon, \quad \mathfrak{r} = \epsilon$$

are sufficient to maintain positivity of the arguments of the gamma functions.

4.1.5. *The w_5 Term.* The computational data that is required is

$$C_w(y) = y_1^2 y_2, \quad \overline{U}_w(\mathbb{R}) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & x_3 \\ & 1 & x_1 \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} : x_1, x_3 \in \mathbb{R} \right\}, \quad t^w = \left(t_2, \frac{1}{t_1 t_2} \right),$$

$$\alpha_1 = \frac{c_2 m_1}{c_1^2 n_2}, \quad \alpha_2 = \frac{c_1 m_2 n_1 n_2}{c_2^2}$$

$$x_1^* = \frac{x_3'}{1 + x_1'^2 + x_3'^2}, \quad x_2^* = -\frac{x_1' x_3'}{1 + x_1'^2},$$

$$y_1^* = \frac{\sqrt{1 + x_1'^2 + x_3'^2}}{1 + x_1'^2}, \quad y_2^* = \frac{\sqrt{1 + x_1'^2}}{1 + x_1'^2 + x_3'^2},$$

so that we are evaluating

$$X'_{w_5}(u, v, \beta, t) = \int_{\overline{U}_w(\mathbb{R})} e \left(-v_1 \beta_1 t_2 x_1^* - v_2 \frac{\beta_2}{t_1 t_2} x_2^* + t_1 x_1' \right) (y_1^*)^{1-u_1} (y_2^*)^{1-u_2} dx'.$$

This matches X'_{w_4} with $x_2' \mapsto x_1'$, and the coordinates of v, β, u and t permuted. Propagating the changes to T'_{w_4} permutes the coordinates of v, u, s , and (f_1, f_2) , so

$$H_{w_5} = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2 c_1 c_2} \int_{\text{Re}(\mu)=\eta} \tilde{k}(\mu) J_{w_5, \mu} \left(\frac{c_1 m_1}{c_2^2 n_2}, \frac{\pi^3 c_2 m_2 n_1 n_2}{c_1^2} \right) d\mu,$$

where

$$(12) \quad J_{w_5, \mu}(y) = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \int_{\text{Re}(s)=\mathfrak{s}} |y_1|^{-s_1} |y_2|^{-s_2} N_{w_5}(s, \mu, \text{sign}(y)) ds,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
N_{w_5}(s, \mu, v) = & \left(\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \int_{\operatorname{Re}(u)=u} G^*(u + (\mu_1, -\mu_2), \mu) R_{w_5}(s, u + (\mu_1, -\mu_2), v) du \right. \\
& + \frac{3}{2\pi i} \int_{\operatorname{Re}(u_2)=u_2} G_l^*(1, u_2, \mu) R_{w_5}(s, (\mu_1, u_2 - \mu_2), v) du_2 \\
& + \frac{3}{2\pi i} \int_{\operatorname{Re}(u_1)=u_1} G_r^*(2, u_1, \mu) R_{w_5}(s, (u_1 + \mu_1, -\mu_2), v) du_1 \\
& \left. + 6G_b^*(1, 2, \mu) R_{w_5}(s, (\mu_1, -\mu_2), v) \right) \prod_{j < k} \tan^2 \frac{\pi}{2} (\mu_k - \mu_j),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{w_5}(s, u, v) = & - \frac{2^{u_1+u_2-s_1-s_2}}{24\pi(2\pi i)^4} \Gamma(s_1 - u_1) \Gamma(s_2 - u_2) \\
& B\left(\frac{1+u_1+u_2-s_1-s_2}{2}, \frac{-u_2+s_1-s_2}{2}\right) \\
& \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\operatorname{Re}(r)=r} 2^{-r} \Gamma(r) A_{w_5}(r, s, u, v) \\
& G^*((4+u_2+s_2-r, 2-u_1+u_2-s_1+s_2), -\mu) \\
& B\left(\frac{1+u_2-s_2-r}{2}, \frac{-u_2-s_1+r}{2}\right) dr,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{w_5} = & \cos \frac{\pi}{2} (s_1 - u_1) \cos \frac{\pi}{2} (s_2 - u_2) \cos \frac{\pi}{2} r \\
& - i v_1 v_2 \sin \frac{\pi}{2} (s_1 - u_1) \sin \frac{\pi}{2} (s_2 - u_2) \sin \frac{\pi}{2} r,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\eta = (-2\epsilon, 2\epsilon), \quad \mathbf{u} = -\epsilon, \quad \mathbf{s} = -\epsilon, \quad \mathbf{r} = \epsilon$$

are sufficient to maintain positivity of the arguments of the gamma functions.

4.2. Notes.

- (1) The above reasoning is sufficient to evaluate the trivial term of the Kuznetsov formula on $SL(n, \mathbb{R})$ for all n , provided one can justify the interchange of integrals in Lemma 15. Though this line of attack works well for the trivial term, it gets more difficult for the remaining terms. The author would like to point out a method of Zagier in his infamous “unpublished notes” for the Kuznetsov formula on $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$: He proceeded from the original formula by substituting $x' \mapsto x'x$ and then performing a substitution on xt to put $u = m^{-1}cwnx'$ in block diagonal form. For the positive discriminant case, one ends with an integral of essentially a Herz hypergeometric function. In the negative discriminant case, the author encountered an unexpected interaction with an off-diagonal term and was unable to complete the process. The purpose in mentioning this here is that up to that point the method appeared quite promising and readily generalizable; if one could overcome the technical difficulties, it should lead to formulas for the long-element term for the Kuznetsov formula on $SL(n, \mathbb{R})$ for general n . As the trivial and long-element terms tend to be the most important for applications, that would be quite useful.

- (2) Again, assuming the interchange of integrals in Lemma 15 can be justified on $SL(n, \mathbb{R})$, the long-element weight function is the $SL(n, \mathbb{R})$ convolution

$$X'(\alpha, \mu) = \int_{G/K} W(z, -\mu, \psi_{11}) W(\alpha w_l z, -\mu, \psi_{11}) p_{11}(z) dz.$$

It would be nice to think that this satisfies some differential equation in α having a known solution. This would give $X'(\alpha, \mu) = g(\mu)f(\alpha, \mu)$ and we will compute the limit

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} p_{-\rho - \mu^{w_l}}(\alpha) X'(\alpha, \mu) = \prod_{j < k} B\left(\frac{1}{2}, \mu_j - \mu_k\right) \neq 0,$$

for $\text{Re}(\mu_1), \text{Re}(\mu_2) > 0$, which would fix the value of $g(\mu)$. The author did not have much luck finding such a differential equation, but still believes it should be related to the differential equations satisfied by the Whittaker function itself. (This would be obvious, except that we are *right*-translating α .)

- (3) While we have made use of the gamma function to convert exponentials to powers (i.e. the Mellin expansion of the e_θ function), Stade was able to compute the Mellin transforms of the Jacquet-Whittaker functions by converting powers to exponentials (essentially the same trick in reverse), giving quadratics in the exponential terms, which can then be evaluated using the known Fourier transform of $\exp -x^2$. Attempting to do so here becomes complicated rather quickly.

Stade was also quite successful in applying the theory of Barnes integrals to reduce the number of extraneous integrals in the Mellin transform of the Whittaker functions. Again, we did not have any success with this method.

- (4) One may reduce the number of extra integrals in the long-element weight function by 2 by sending $y \mapsto y|m|^{-1}$ and $y' \mapsto y'|n|^{-1}$ and integrating over both y and y' separately. (As opposed to sending $y \mapsto t|m|^{-1}$ and $y' \mapsto t|n|^{-1}$ and integrating over t .) This then requires finding an exponential decay factor in the weight function to compensate, which is somewhat difficult.

5. ASYMPTOTICS OF THE $J_{w_l, \mu}$ FUNCTION

We want to achieve the highest power of the β variables possible – this gives the fastest convergence of the Kloosterman zeta function, so we want to move the s variables as negative as possible. As the s variables are indirectly bounded below by $(\mu_1, -\mu_2)$, any terms which allow us to cross below those lines will be considered small. Thus we only care about the $u = (0, 0)$ residue in the N_{w_l} function. Then we shift the s integrals back, with poles at $s_1 = \mu_1$ and $s_2 = -\mu_2 + t_1$, and we shift the t_1 integral back, with a pole at $t_1 = 0$. So far, we have

$$(13) \quad J_{w_l, \mu}(y) \sim 6 |y_1|^{-\mu_1} |y_2|^{\mu_2} \frac{1}{2^{14} \pi^8} G_b^*(1, 2, \mu) \left(\prod_{j < k} \tan^2 \frac{\pi}{2} (\mu_k - \mu_j) \right) R_{w_l}((\mu_1, -\mu_2), (\mu_1, -\mu_2), v, 0),$$

as $y \rightarrow 0$. This yields the error terms of Proposition 12:

$$(14) \quad E_{w_l,1}(\mu, y) = \frac{3}{(2\pi i)^3} \left(\prod_{j < k} \tan^2 \frac{\pi}{2} (\mu_k - \mu_j) \right) \int_{\operatorname{Re}(s)=s} |y_1|^{-s_1} |y_2|^{-s_2} \\ \int_{\operatorname{Re}(u_1)=u_1} G_r^*(2, (u_1 + \mu_1, -\mu_2), \mu) \Gamma(s_1 - u_1 - \mu_1) \\ T_{w_l,1}(s, (u_1 + \mu_1, -\mu_2), v) du_1 ds,$$

$$(15) \quad E_{w_l,2}(\mu, y) = \frac{3}{(2\pi i)^3} \left(\prod_{j < k} \tan^2 \frac{\pi}{2} (\mu_k - \mu_j) \right) \int_{\operatorname{Re}(s)=s} |y_1|^{-s_1} |y_2|^{-s_2} \\ \int_{\operatorname{Re}(u_2)=u_2} G_l^*(1, (\mu_1, u_2 - \mu_2), \mu) \Gamma(s_1 - \mu_1) \\ T_{w_l,1}(s, (\mu_1, u_2 - \mu_2), v) du_2 ds,$$

$$(16) \quad E_{w_l,3}(\mu, y) = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^4} \left(\prod_{j < k} \tan^2 \frac{\pi}{2} (\mu_k - \mu_j) \right) \int_{\operatorname{Re}(s)=s} |y_1|^{-s_1} |y_2|^{-s_2} \\ \int_{\operatorname{Re}(u)=u} G^*(u + (\mu_1, -\mu_2), \mu) \Gamma(s_1 - u_1 - \mu_1) \\ T_{w_l,1}(s, u + (\mu_1, -\mu_2), v) du ds,$$

$$(17) \quad E_{w_l,4}(\mu, y) = \frac{6}{(2\pi i)^2} G_b^*(1, 2, \mu) \left(\prod_{j < k} \tan^2 \frac{\pi}{2} (\mu_k - \mu_j) \right) \\ \int_{\operatorname{Re}(s_1)=\operatorname{Re}(\mu_1)-\epsilon} |y_1|^{-s_1} \Gamma(s_1 - \mu_1) \int_{\operatorname{Re}(t_1)=t_1} |y_2|^{\mu_2-t_1} \Gamma(t_1) R_{w_l}(s, (\mu_1, -\mu_2), v, t_1) dt_1 ds_1,$$

$$(18) \quad E_{w_l,5}(\mu, y) = \frac{6}{2\pi i} G_b^*(1, 2, \mu) \left(\prod_{j < k} \tan^2 \frac{\pi}{2} (\mu_k - \mu_j) \right) \\ \int_{\operatorname{Re}(s_2)=\operatorname{Re}(-\mu_2)-\epsilon} |y_1|^{-\mu_1} |y_2|^{-s_2} \\ T_{w_l,1}(s, (\mu_1, -\mu_2), \operatorname{sign}(y)) ds_2,$$

$$(19) \quad E_{w_l,6}(\mu, y) = \frac{6}{(2\pi i)^2} G_b^*(1, 2, \mu) \left(\prod_{j < k} \tan^2 \frac{\pi}{2} (\mu_k - \mu_j) \right) \\ \int_{\operatorname{Re}(s)=\operatorname{Re}(\mu_1, -\mu_2)-\epsilon} |y_1|^{-s_1} |y_2|^{-s_2} \\ \Gamma(s_1 - \mu_1) T_{w_l,1}(s, (\mu_1, -\mu_2), \operatorname{sign}(y)) ds,$$

$$(20) \quad E_{w_l, 7}(\mu, y) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} |y_1|^{-\mu_1} \int_{\operatorname{Re}(t_1)=-\epsilon} |y_2|^{\mu_2-t_1} \Gamma(t_1) R_{w_l}((\mu_1, -\mu_2), (\mu_1, -\mu_2), v, t_1) dt_1.$$

Returning to (8), we may compute the main term explicitly. We have $J'_{w_l, \mu}(y) = J_{w_l, \mu}(\pi^2 y)$, so for $\operatorname{Re}(\mu) = (-\frac{1}{2} - 9\epsilon, \frac{1}{2} + 9\epsilon)$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{y \rightarrow 0} |y_1|^{\mu_1} |y_2|^{-\mu_2} J_{w_l, \mu}(\pi^2 y) \\ &= \lim_{y \rightarrow 0} |y_1|^{\mu_1} |y_2|^{-\mu_2} J'_{w_l, \mu}(y) \\ &= \frac{1}{16\pi^3} \left(\prod_{j < k} \tan^2 \frac{\pi}{2} (\mu_j - \mu_k) \right) G_b^*(1, 2, \mu) \int_{(\mathbb{R}^+)^2} W(t, -\mu, \psi_{11}) \\ & \quad (\pi t_1^{w_l})^{-\mu_1} (\pi t_2^{w_l})^{\mu_2} \lim_{y \rightarrow 0} X'_{w_l}((\mu_1, -\mu_2), \operatorname{sign}(y), |y|, t) t_1^5 t_2^3 dt, \end{aligned}$$

by dominated convergence. The limit in X'_{w_l} is actually a Whittaker function,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{y \rightarrow 0} X'_{w_l}((\mu_1, -\mu_2), \operatorname{sign}(y), |y|, t) &= \int_{\overline{U}_w(\mathbb{R})} \overline{\psi}_t(x') y_1^{*1-\mu_1} y_2^{*1+\mu_2} dx' \\ &= W(I, (\mu_2, \mu_3, \mu_1), \psi_t) \\ &= t_1^{-1+\mu_2} t_2^{-1-\mu_1} W(t, (\mu_2, \mu_3, \mu_1), \psi_{11}), \end{aligned}$$

again, by dominated convergence. Applying this to the limit of $J_{w_l, \mu}$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{y \rightarrow 0} |y_1|^{\mu_1} |y_2|^{-\mu_2} J_{w_l, \mu}(\pi^2 y) \\ &= \frac{\pi^{-\mu_1+\mu_2}}{16\pi^3} \left(\prod_{j < k} \tan^2 \frac{\pi}{2} (\mu_j - \mu_k) \right) G_b^*(1, 2, \mu) \\ & \quad \int_{(\mathbb{R}^+)^2} W(t, -\mu, \psi_{11}) W(t, (\mu_2, \mu_3, \mu_1), \psi_{11}) t_1^4 t_2^2 dt \\ &= \frac{\pi^{-\mu_1+\mu_2}}{32\pi^3} \left(\prod_{j < k} (\mu_j - \mu_k) \tan \frac{\pi}{2} (\mu_j - \mu_k) \right) G_b^*(1, 2, \mu) \frac{\Lambda(\mu)}{\Lambda(\mu_2, \mu_3, \mu_1)} \\ &= \frac{\pi^{-2\mu_1-\mu_2}}{\pi^{3/2}} \left(\prod_{j < k} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1+\mu_j-\mu_k}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1+\mu_k-\mu_j}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\mu_j-\mu_k}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\mu_k-\mu_j}{2}\right)} \right) \\ & \quad \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\mu_1-\mu_2}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\mu_1-\mu_3}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\mu_3-\mu_2}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1+\mu_3-\mu_1}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1+\mu_1-\mu_2}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1+\mu_3-\mu_2}{2}\right)}, \end{aligned}$$

thus $J_{w_l, \mu}(y) \sim |y_1|^{-\mu_1} |y_2|^{\mu_2} K_{w_l}(\mu)$. This expression then agrees with right hand side of (13) over the entire range of holomorphy by analytic continuation and we have Proposition 12. Note that we induced an asymmetry in the original definition of the $J'_{w_l, \mu}$ function, hence the asymmetry here.

5.1. Partial Inversion Formula. If we take our test function to be (3) then in H_{w_l} , we move $\operatorname{Re}(\mu_1, -\mu_2) \mapsto \operatorname{Re}(q) - \epsilon$, and apply the asymptotics of $J_{w_l, \mu}$ at the

double residue $\mu = (q_1, -q_2)$ gives Theorem 13 with

$$(21) \quad F_1(\hat{f}; y) = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \int_{\operatorname{Re}(\mu_1, -\mu_2) = \operatorname{Re}(q) - \epsilon} \hat{k}(\mu) J_{w_l, \mu}(y) d\mu,$$

$$(22) \quad F_2(\hat{f}; y) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\operatorname{Re}(\mu_2) = -\operatorname{Re}(q_2) + \epsilon} \int_{\operatorname{Re}(q) = \mathfrak{q}} \hat{f}(q) \frac{J_{w_l, (q_1, \mu_2)}(y)}{K_{w_l}(q_1, -q_2)} \frac{(q_1 + q_2)^2 (2q_1 - q_2) (2q_2 - q_1)}{(q_1 - \mu_2) (2q_1 + \mu_2) (q_1 + q_2) (q_2 + \mu_2) (q_2 - q_1 - \mu_2)} dq d\mu_2,$$

$$(23) \quad F_3(\hat{f}; y) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\operatorname{Re}(\mu_1) = \operatorname{Re}(q_1) - \epsilon} \int_{\operatorname{Re}(q) = \mathfrak{q}} \hat{f}(q) \frac{J_{w_l, (\mu_1, -q_2)}(y)}{K_{w_l}(q_1, -q_2)} \frac{(q_1 + q_2)^2 (2q_1 - q_2) (2q_2 - q_1)}{(q_1 - \mu_1) (q_1 + q_2) (q_1 - q_2 + \mu_1) (q_2 + \mu_1) (2q_2 - \mu_1)} dq d\mu_1.$$

$$(24) \quad F_{j+3}(\hat{f}; y) = \int_{\operatorname{Re}(q) = \mathfrak{q}} \hat{f}(q) \frac{E_j((q_1, -q_2), y)}{K_{w_l}(q_1, -q_2)} dq.$$

It may be possible to study the Kloosterman zeta functions directly by simply not integrating over q in $\hat{k}$; this would require a test function $\hat{k}$ which cancels the intermediate terms in H_{w_l} and J_{w_l} (the terms with a residue at one of q_1 or q_2 , but not both, and the terms with a residue at one of s_1 or s_2 , but not both, and the term with a residue in t_1).

6. SUMS OF KLOOSTERMAN SUMS

Let $g(y) = f(Xy_1, Yy_2)$, then the assumption that f have compact support is not strictly necessary, we merely need holomorphy of $\hat{g}$ on $\operatorname{Re}(q_1), \operatorname{Re}(q_2) \in (-\frac{1}{2} - \epsilon, -\epsilon)$ and the bound

$$\hat{g}(q) \ll \frac{X^{-\operatorname{Re}(q_1)} Y^{-\operatorname{Re}(q_2)}}{|q_1|^2 |q_2|^2},$$

which follows by integration by parts twice in each y variable. Theorem 5 follows from Theorem 13 by fixing the contours of the error terms and those of the cusp form terms, Eisenstein series terms, and non-long-element Kloosterman sum terms and justifying their absolute convergence in the new locations. Specifically, we want to shift the contours in q as far to the right as possible.

For the cusp form terms in (1), we may shift the q contours of $\hat{k}$ up to $\mathfrak{q} = -\frac{5}{14} - \epsilon$ without encountering poles at any of the $q_1 - \mu_i$ or $q_2 + \mu_i$ terms, thanks to the Kim-Sarnak result. The $K_{w_l}(q_1, -q_2)$ term has poles at $-q_1 - q_2 = 0$, $-2q_1 + q_2 = 0$ and $q_1 - 2q_2 = 0$, but we need not encounter these and they are cancelled by the terms in the numerator as well. Now the mean-value estimates of Theorem 10 show that the sum over the cusp forms converges absolutely so we have the bound $(XY)^{\frac{5}{14} + \epsilon}$ here.

The terms in (1) for both types of Eisenstein series have $\hat{k}$ evaluated at $\operatorname{Re}(\mu) = 0$, as does the trivial Weyl element term, and the sums of Kloosterman sums at the w_4 and w_5 Weyl elements still converge absolutely at $\operatorname{Re}(\mu) = (-\epsilon, \epsilon)$ so for each of these terms we may shift the q contours to $\mathfrak{q} = -2\epsilon$. Again, absolute convergence gives $(XY)^{2\epsilon}$.

j	q	η	u	τ	t
1	Q_0	$(-\frac{1}{2} - \epsilon, \frac{1}{2} + \epsilon)$	$(-3\epsilon, -5\epsilon)$	$(\frac{1}{2} - 6\epsilon, 100\epsilon)$	$(2\epsilon, 0)$
2	Q_1	$(-\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon)$	$(-3\epsilon, -5\epsilon)$	$(\frac{1}{2} - 6\epsilon, 100\epsilon)$	$(2\epsilon, 0)$
3	Q_2	$(-\frac{1}{2} - \epsilon, -)$	$(-3\epsilon, -5\epsilon)$	$(\frac{1}{2} - 6\epsilon, 100\epsilon)$	$(2\epsilon, 0)$
4	Q_2	-	$(-\frac{1}{2}, -)$	$(\frac{1}{2} - 6\epsilon, 100\epsilon)$	$(2\epsilon, 0)$
5	Q_1	-	$(-, -\frac{1}{2})$	$(\frac{1}{2} - 6\epsilon, 100\epsilon)$	$(2\epsilon, 0)$
6	Q_0	-	$-\frac{1}{2}$	$(\frac{1}{2} - 6\epsilon, 100\epsilon)$	$(2\epsilon, 0)$
7	Q_2	-	-	$(-\frac{1}{2}, 10\epsilon)$	$(2\epsilon, \frac{1}{2} - 2\epsilon)$
8	Q_1	-	-	$(-\frac{1}{2} + 20\epsilon, -4\epsilon)$	$(2\epsilon, \frac{1}{2} - 2\epsilon)$
9	Q_0	-	-	$(-\frac{1}{2} - \epsilon, 100\epsilon)$	$(2\epsilon, \frac{1}{2} - 2\epsilon)$
10	Q_1	-	-	$(-\frac{1}{2} - 6\epsilon, -4\epsilon)$	$(-\frac{1}{2}, 1)$

TABLE 2. Contours for the F_j error terms.

In the section on bounds, we will show the F_j error terms of Theorem 13 are all bounded by $(XY)^{10\epsilon}(X^{\frac{1}{2}} + Y^{\frac{1}{2}})$ by taking the contours as in Table 2, with $\mathfrak{s} = -\frac{1}{2} - 3\epsilon$, and

$$Q_0 = -2\epsilon, \quad Q_1 = \left(-\frac{1}{2} - 4\epsilon, -6\epsilon\right), \quad Q_2 = \left(-4\epsilon, -\frac{1}{2} - 6\epsilon\right).$$

Lastly, we choose

$$\eta = (-\epsilon, \epsilon), \quad \mathfrak{s} = -2\epsilon, \quad \mathfrak{u} = -3\epsilon, \quad \tau = \frac{1}{2},$$

for $J_{w_4, \mu}$ and $J_{w_5, \mu}$. Our choice of $\mathfrak{s}$ maintains the absolute convergence of the Kloosterman zeta function, in most cases, and the exponent on the bounds come from $\mathfrak{q}$.

7. BOUNDS

We are left with two items to prove, which are essentially the same: First, completing Theorem 11 requires justifying the growth hypothesis on $\hat{k}$, in other words, bounding $J_{w, \mu}$, which is also desirable for Theorem 10. Second, the evaluation of the integral transforms, the asymptotics of $E_{w_l, j}$ and F_j given in Proposition 12 and Theorem 13, and the completion of Theorem 5 all require absolute convergence of the Mellin-Barnes integrals.

It is difficult to obtain a general bound for N_{w_l} and J_{w_l} that works for all ranges of the η and $\mathfrak{s}$ parameters, hence it is also difficult to show that these functions converge absolutely over the entire range of holomorphy. Therefore, we will not actually show that these functions are holomorphic over the given ranges. This leads one to question whether it is valid to shift contours as we have freely done; for the skeptical reader, we have a simple justification: Do the shifting before taking the limit in θ back in the original construction. As we have the bound $A'_{w_l} \ll \prod_{j=1}^6 |r_j|^{\operatorname{Re}(r_j) - \frac{1}{2}} \exp((\theta - \frac{\pi}{2})|\operatorname{Im}(r_j)|)$, both convergence and the validity of the shifts are obvious. Then we only require that the end product converges absolutely at $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$, and that is what we will show.

The fundamental asymptotic here is Stirling's formula: For $\operatorname{Re}(z)$ in a compact subset of $\mathbb{R}$ (not containing a pole of the gamma function),

$$|\Gamma(z)| \sim \sqrt{2\pi} |z|^{\operatorname{Re}(z)-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}|\operatorname{Im}(z)|},$$

which leads us to integrals of products in the form

$$\int_{\operatorname{Re}(u)=c} \prod_i |a_{i,1}u_1 + \dots + a_{i,n}u_n + b_{i,1}v_1 + \dots + b_{i,m}v_m|^{c_i} du,$$

where $a_{i,j}, c_i \in \mathbb{R}$ are fixed, with $v_i \in \mathbb{C}$ having fixed real part. Note that for a and c non-zero and fixed, $b \in \mathbb{R}$, we have $|a + bi| \asymp |c + bi|$. Provided the exponents are not somehow accumulating on any subspace, we would expect such an integral to converge when $\sum_i c_i < -n - 1$, and we give a series of lemmas designed to show that these converge in our situation.

7.0.1. The Beta Function. For $\operatorname{Re}(u), \operatorname{Re}(v_1), \operatorname{Re}(v_2)$ fixed, applying the second form of Stirling's formula shows that $B(u - v_1, v_2 - u)$ decays exponentially in u unless

$$\max\{\operatorname{Im}(v_1), \operatorname{Im}(v_2)\} > \operatorname{Im}(u) > \min\{\operatorname{Im}(v_1), \operatorname{Im}(v_2)\},$$

and in that case, we have

$$B(u - v_1, v_2 - u) \ll \frac{|u - v_1|^{\operatorname{Re}(u-v_1)-\frac{1}{2}} |v_2 - u|^{\operatorname{Re}(v_2-u)-\frac{1}{2}}}{|v_2 - v_1|^{\operatorname{Re}(v_2-v_1)-\frac{1}{2}}}.$$

We will need the following estimate many times:

Lemma 16. *Suppose $a_1 + a_2 < -1$ with a_1 and a_2 fixed, and $s \geq 0$, then*

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |1 + i(s+t)|^{a_1} |1 + i(s-t)|^{a_2} dt \ll |1 + is|^{\max\{a_1, a_2, a_1+a_2+1\}}.$$

Proof. We split the integral at $-2s, 0$, and $2s$. For the first integral, we substitute $t \mapsto -t - 2s$:

$$I_1 = \left(\int_0^s + \int_s^\infty \right) |1 + i(s+t)|^{a_1} |1 + i(t+3s)|^{a_2} dt \ll |1 + is|^{a_1+a_2+1},$$

similarly $I_4 \ll |1 + is|^{a_1+a_2+1}$. For the second, we substitute $t \mapsto -t - s$:

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &= \int_{-s}^s |1 + it|^{a_1} |1 + i(t+2s)|^{a_2} dt \\ &\asymp |1 + is|^{a_2} \int_{-s}^s |1 + it|^{a_1} dt \\ &\ll |1 + is|^{a_2 + \max\{0, a_1+1\}}, \end{aligned}$$

similarly, $I_3 \ll |1 + is|^{a_1 + \max\{0, a_2+1\}}$. □

Using one of the first two terms in the maximum essentially incurs a loss, so we will tend to enforce $a_1, a_2 \geq -1$.

Clearly, the same holds for the beta function, without the requirement that $a_1 + a_2 < -1$, so

Lemma 17. *Suppose $\text{Re}(v) = \mathbf{v}$ with $\mathbf{v}_1 + \mathbf{u}$, $\mathbf{v}_2 - \mathbf{u}$ not non-positive integers, and $\mathbf{u}$, $\mathbf{v}$ fixed, and $p > 0$, then*

$$\left(\int_{\text{Re}(u)=\mathbf{u}} |B(v_1 + u, v_2 - u)|^p |du| \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \ll |v_1 + v_2|^{\max\{\mathbf{u}-\mathbf{v}_2, -\mathbf{u}-\mathbf{v}_1, \frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2}\}}.$$

7.0.2. *The G Function.* The residue $G_b^*(1, 2, \mu)$ is bounded by

$$G_b^*(1, 2, \mu) \ll |\mu_1 - \mu_2|^{\frac{2\text{Re}(\mu_1 - \mu_2) - 1}{2}} |\mu_1 - \mu_3|^{\frac{2\text{Re}(\mu_1 - \mu_3) - 1}{2}} |\mu_3 - \mu_2|^{\frac{2\text{Re}(\mu_3 - \mu_2) - 1}{2}}.$$

The residue $G_l^*(1, u_2, \mu)$ has exponential decay in $\text{Im}(u_2)$ unless $\text{Im}(\mu_2) > \text{Im}(-u_2) > \text{Im}(\mu_3)$ (up to permutation of (μ_2, μ_3) , for fixed $\text{Re}(u_2)$), and it is bounded there by

$$G_l^*(1, u_2, \mu) \ll |\mu_1 - \mu_2|^{\frac{2\text{Re}(\mu_1 - \mu_2) - 1}{2}} |\mu_1 - \mu_3|^{\frac{2\text{Re}(\mu_1 - \mu_3) - 1}{2}} |\mu_2 - \mu_3|^{\frac{\text{Re}(\mu_3 - \mu_2)}{2}} \\ |u_2 + \mu_2|^{\frac{\text{Re}(u_2 + \mu_2) - 1}{2}} |u_2 + \mu_3|^{\frac{\text{Re}(u_2 + \mu_3) - 1}{2}}.$$

Thus G_l^* and G_r^* integrate much like beta functions:

$$\int_{\text{Re}(u_2)=\mathbf{u}_2} |G_l^*(1, u_2, \mu)| |du_2| \\ \ll |\mu_1 - \mu_2|^{\frac{2\text{Re}(\mu_1 - \mu_2) - 1}{2}} |\mu_1 - \mu_3|^{\frac{2\text{Re}(\mu_1 - \mu_3) - 1}{2}} |\mu_2 - \mu_3|^{\text{Re}(u_2 + \mu_3)}, \\ \int_{\text{Re}(u_1)=\mathbf{u}_1} |G_r^*(2, u_1, \mu)| |du_1| \\ \ll |\mu_1 - \mu_2|^{\frac{2\text{Re}(\mu_1 - \mu_2) - 1}{2}} |\mu_3 - \mu_2|^{\frac{2\text{Re}(\mu_3 - \mu_2) - 1}{2}} |\mu_1 - \mu_3|^{\text{Re}(u_1 - \mu_3)}.$$

For $\text{Re}(u)$, $\text{Re}(\mu)$ fixed, applying Stirling's formula gives exponential decay in u_1 or u_2 for G^* unless, up to permutation of μ or $(u_1, -u_2)$,

$$\text{Im}(\mu_1) > \text{Im}(u_1) > \text{Im}(\mu_2) > \text{Im}(-u_2) > \text{Im}(\mu_3),$$

in which case, we have

$$G^*(u, \mu) \ll \frac{|u_1 + u_2|^{\frac{1 - \text{Re}(u_1 + u_2)}{2}} \prod_{i=1}^3 |u_1 - \mu_i|^{\frac{\text{Re}(u_1 - \mu_i) - 1}{2}} |u_2 + \mu_i|^{\frac{\text{Re}(u_2 + \mu_i) - 1}{2}}}{|\mu_2 - \mu_1|^{\frac{\text{Re}(\mu_2 - \mu_1)}{2}} |\mu_3 - \mu_1|^{\frac{\text{Re}(\mu_3 - \mu_1)}{2}} |\mu_2 - \mu_3|^{\frac{\text{Re}(\mu_2 - \mu_3)}{2}}}.$$

For integrals of the G function, it is sufficient to prove the following lemma:

Lemma 18. *Suppose $a_i, b_i > -1 - \epsilon$, $a_2 + b_2 + c > -2 - \epsilon$, and $v_1 < v_2 < v_3$ with $v_3 - v_2 > v_2 - v_1$, then*

$$\int_{v_1}^{v_2} \int_{v_2}^{v_3} |1 + i(u_1 - u_2)|^c \prod_{i=1}^3 |1 + i(u_1 - v_i)|^{a_i} |1 + i(u_2 - v_i)|^{b_i} du_2 du_1 \\ \ll |1 + i(v_3 - v_1)|^{\frac{\kappa - \delta}{2} + \epsilon} |1 + i(v_3 - v_2)|^{\frac{\kappa - \delta}{2} + \epsilon} |1 + i(v_2 - v_1)|^{\delta + \epsilon},$$

where

$$\kappa = a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + b_1 + b_2 + b_3 + c + 2,$$

$$\delta = \min \left\{ \frac{\kappa}{3}, a_1 + a_2 + 1, a_1 + a_2 + b_1 + c + 1, a_1 + a_2 + b_1 + b_2 + c + 2 \right\}.$$

Permuting η and interchanging $(u_1, \eta) \leftrightarrow (u_2, -\eta)$ as necessary, we obtain

Lemma 19. *Suppose $u_1 - \eta_i, u_2 + \eta_i > -1 - \epsilon$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\operatorname{Re}(u)=u} |G^*(u, \mu)| |du_2| |du_1| \\ & \ll |\mu_2 - \mu_1|^{\frac{\operatorname{Re}(\mu_1 - \mu_2)}{2}} |\mu_3 - \mu_1|^{\frac{\operatorname{Re}(\mu_1 - \mu_3)}{2}} |\mu_2 - \mu_3|^{\frac{\operatorname{Re}(\mu_3 - \mu_2)}{2}} \\ & \sum_{w \in W} |\mu_3^w - \mu_1^w|^{\frac{\kappa - \delta}{2} + \epsilon} |\mu_3^w - \mu_2^w|^{\frac{\kappa - \delta}{2} + \epsilon} |\mu_2^w - \mu_1^w|^{\delta + \epsilon}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\kappa = u_1 + u_2 - \frac{1}{2}, \quad \delta = \min_i \left\{ \frac{\kappa}{3}, \frac{2u_1 + \eta_i + 1}{2}, \frac{2u_2 - \eta_i + 1}{2} \right\}.$$

Proof of Lemma 18. We split the integral into three parts: The first with $u_2 > \frac{v_2 + v_3}{2}$, the second with $u_1 < \frac{v_1 + v_2}{2}$, and the third over the remaining region. For the first integral, we send $u_2 \mapsto u_2 + v_3$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{-\frac{v_3 - v_2}{2}}^0 |1 + i(u_1 - v_3 - u_2)|^c |1 + i(u_2 + v_3 - v_1)|^{b_1} \\ & |1 + i(u_2 + v_3 - v_2)|^{b_2} |1 + iu_2|^{b_3} du_2 \\ & \asymp |1 + i(u_1 - v_3)|^c |1 + i(v_3 - v_1)|^{b_1} |1 + i(v_3 - v_2)|^{b_2} \int_{-\frac{v_3 - v_2}{2}}^0 |1 + iu_2|^{b_3} du_2 \\ & \ll |1 + i(u_1 - v_3)|^c |1 + i(v_3 - v_1)|^{b_1} |1 + i(v_3 - v_2)|^{b_2 + \max\{0, b_3 + 1\}}, \end{aligned}$$

as before. Then

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 & \ll |1 + i(v_3 - v_1)|^{b_1} |1 + i(v_3 - v_2)|^{b_2 + \max\{0, b_3 + 1\}} \\ & \int_{v_1}^{v_2} |1 + i(u_1 - v_1)|^{a_1} |1 + i(u_1 - v_2)|^{a_2} |1 + i(u_1 - v_3)|^{a_3 + c} du_1, \end{aligned}$$

and splitting this integral at $\frac{v_1 + v_2}{2}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I_{1a} & = |1 + i(v_3 - v_1)|^{b_1} |1 + i(v_3 - v_2)|^{b_2 + \max\{0, b_3 + 1\}} \\ & \int_0^{\frac{v_1 - v_2}{2}} |1 + iu_1|^{a_1} |1 + i(u_1 + v_1 - v_2)|^{a_2} |1 + i(u_1 + v_1 - v_3)|^{a_3 + c} du_1 \\ & \ll |1 + i(v_3 - v_1)|^{a_3 + b_1 + c} |1 + i(v_3 - v_2)|^{b_2 + \max\{0, b_3 + 1\}} \\ & |1 + i(v_1 - v_2)|^{a_2 + \max\{0, a_1 + 1\}}, \end{aligned}$$

and similarly for I_{2a} , giving

$$I_1 \ll |1 + i(v_3 - v_2)|^{a_3 + b_1 + b_2 + b_3 + c + 1 + \epsilon} |1 + i(v_1 - v_2)|^{a_1 + a_2 + 1 + \epsilon},$$

using $v_3 - v_1 \asymp v_3 - v_2$ and the hypotheses on a and b .

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 & \ll |1 + i(v_1 - v_2)|^{a_1 + a_2 + 1 + \epsilon} |1 + i(v_3 - v_2)|^{a_3 + b_1 + b_2 + b_3 + c + 1 + \epsilon} \\ & + |1 + i(v_1 - v_2)|^{a_1 + a_2 + b_1 + c + 1 + \epsilon} |1 + i(v_3 - v_2)|^{a_3 + b_2 + b_3 + 1 + \epsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

Now the third integral becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
I_3 &= \int_{-\frac{v_2-v_1}{2}}^0 \int_0^{\frac{v_3-v_2}{2}} |1+i(u_1-u_2)|^c |1+i(u_1+v_2-v_1)|^{a_1} |1+iu_1|^{a_2} \\
&\quad |1+i(u_1+v_2-v_3)|^{a_3} |1+i(u_2+v_2-v_1)|^{b_1} \\
&\quad |1+iu_2|^{b_2} |1+i(u_2+v_2-v_3)|^{b_3} du_2 du_1 \\
&\asymp |1+i(v_2-v_1)|^{a_1} |1+i(v_2-v_3)|^{a_3+b_3} \\
&\quad \int_0^{\frac{v_2-v_1}{2}} \int_0^{\frac{v_3-v_2}{2}} |1+i(u_1+u_2)|^c |1+iu_1|^{a_2} \\
&\quad |1+i(u_2+v_2-v_1)|^{b_1} |1+iu_2|^{b_2} du_2 du_1,
\end{aligned}$$

and this we split into three pieces at $u_2 = u_1$ and $u_2 = \frac{v_2-v_1}{2}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{3a} &\asymp |1+i(v_2-v_1)|^{a_1+b_1} |1+i(v_2-v_3)|^{a_3+b_3} \\
&\quad \int_0^{\frac{v_2-v_1}{2}} |1+iu_1|^{a_2+c} \int_0^{u_1} |1+iu_2|^{b_2} du_2 du_1 \\
&\ll |1+i(v_2-v_1)|^{a_1+b_1} |1+i(v_2-v_3)|^{a_3+b_3} \\
&\quad \int_0^{\frac{v_2-v_1}{2}} |1+iu_1|^{a_2+b_2+c+1+\epsilon} du_1 \\
&\ll |1+i(v_2-v_1)|^{a_1+a_2+b_1+b_2+c+1+\epsilon} |1+i(v_2-v_3)|^{a_3+b_3}, \\
I_{3b} &\asymp |1+i(v_2-v_1)|^{a_1+b_1} |1+i(v_2-v_3)|^{a_3+b_3} \\
&\quad \int_0^{\frac{v_2-v_1}{2}} |1+iu_1|^{a_2} \int_{u_1}^{\frac{v_2-v_1}{2}} |1+iu_2|^{b_2+c} du_2 du_1 \\
&\ll |1+i(v_2-v_1)|^{a_1+b_1} |1+i(v_2-v_3)|^{a_3+b_3} \\
&\quad \int_0^{\frac{v_2-v_1}{2}} |1+iu_1|^{a_2} \left(|1+iu_1|^{b_2+c+1} + |1+i(v_2-v_1)|^{b_2+c+1+\epsilon} \right) du_1 \\
&\ll |1+i(v_2-v_1)|^{a_1+a_2+b_1+b_2+c+2+\epsilon} |1+i(v_2-v_3)|^{a_3+b_3}, \\
I_{3c} &\asymp |1+i(v_2-v_1)|^{a_1} |1+i(v_2-v_3)|^{a_3+b_3} \\
&\quad \int_0^{\frac{v_2-v_1}{2}} |1+iu_1|^{a_2} du_1 \int_{\frac{v_2-v_1}{2}}^{\frac{v_3-v_2}{2}} |1+iu_2|^{b_1+b_2+c} du_2 \\
&\ll |1+i(v_2-v_1)|^{a_1+a_2+1+\epsilon} |1+i(v_2-v_3)|^{a_3+b_3} \\
&\quad \left(|v_2-v_1|^{b_1+b_2+c+1} + |v_3-v_2|^{b_1+b_2+c+1+\epsilon} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

□

7.1. The $J_{w,\mu}$ and $E_{w_l,j}$ Functions. The ordering of the integrals of $J_{w,\mu}$ and $E_{w_l,j}$ that we have been using is structured for writing the residues and determining the asymptotics in y ; this makes it somewhat more difficult for bounding the result as the quadruple of integrals in s and t do not have sufficient exponential decay and hence need to be handled using the results of the section on beta functions.

That said, we want separate the integrals of G^* and its residues, i.e. the u and r integrals for the long element functions, and compute bounds for the integrals of s and t and the three relevant residues in s and t first, the integrals in u and r to be taken later. The contours have generally been chosen so that the s and t integrals are bounded by at most a constant multiple of $|y_1|^{-\operatorname{Re}(s_1)} |y_2|^{-\operatorname{Re}(s_2)}$, so the u and r integrals can be evaluated by the results of the previous section. This is true for all but $E_{w_l,7}$ and the intermediate Weyl element terms, where we actually have some extra decay in u and r , which we ignore for convenience.

Proposition 20. *For the contours given in Table 2, we have absolute convergence of all of the weight functions with*

$$\begin{aligned}
|J_{w_l,\mu}(y)| &\ll |y_1|^{\frac{1}{2}+3\epsilon} |y_2|^{\frac{1}{2}+3\epsilon} \\
&\quad \sum_{w \in W} |\mu_3^w - \mu_1^w|^{\frac{7}{8}+100\epsilon} |\mu_3^w - \mu_2^w|^{\frac{7}{8}+100\epsilon} |\mu_2^w - \mu_1^w|^{\frac{3}{4}+100\epsilon}, \\
|J_{w_4,\mu}(y)| &\ll |y_1|^\epsilon |y_2|^\epsilon |\mu_3 - \mu_1|^{\frac{5}{6}+100\epsilon} |\mu_3 - \mu_2|^{\frac{5}{6}+100\epsilon} |\mu_2 - \mu_1|^{\frac{5}{6}+100\epsilon}, \\
|J_{w_5,\mu}(y)| &\ll |y_1|^\epsilon |y_2|^\epsilon |\mu_3 - \mu_1|^{\frac{5}{6}+100\epsilon} |\mu_3 - \mu_2|^{\frac{5}{6}+100\epsilon} |\mu_2 - \mu_1|^{\frac{5}{6}+100\epsilon}, \\
|E_{w_l,1}(\mu, y)| &\ll |y_1|^{\frac{1}{2}+3\epsilon} |y_2|^{\frac{1}{2}+3\epsilon} \\
&\quad \sum_{w \in W} |\mu_3^w - \mu_1^w|^{\frac{5}{4}+100\epsilon} |\mu_3^w - \mu_2^w|^{\frac{5}{4}+100\epsilon} |\mu_2^w - \mu_1^w|^{\frac{1}{2}+100\epsilon}, \\
|E_{w_l,2}(\mu, y)| &\ll |y_1|^{\frac{1}{2}+3\epsilon} |y_2|^{\frac{1}{2}+3\epsilon} \\
&\quad \sum_{w \in W} |\mu_3^w - \mu_1^w|^{\frac{7}{8}+100\epsilon} |\mu_3^w - \mu_2^w|^{\frac{7}{8}+100\epsilon} |\mu_2^w - \mu_1^w|^{\frac{1}{4}+100\epsilon}, \\
|E_{w_l,3}(\mu, y)| &\ll |y_1|^{\frac{1}{2}+3\epsilon} |y_2|^{\frac{1}{2}+3\epsilon} |\mu_3 - \mu_1|^{\frac{5}{6}+100\epsilon} |\mu_3 - \mu_2|^{\frac{5}{6}+100\epsilon} |\mu_2 - \mu_1|^{\frac{5}{6}+100\epsilon}, \\
|E_{w_l,4}(\mu, y)| &\ll |y_1|^{\frac{1}{2}+3\epsilon} |y_2|^{\frac{1}{2}+4\epsilon} \\
&\quad \sum_{w \in W} |\mu_3^w - \mu_1^w|^{\frac{7}{8}+100\epsilon} |\mu_3^w - \mu_2^w|^{\frac{7}{8}+100\epsilon} |\mu_2^w - \mu_1^w|^{\frac{1}{4}+100\epsilon}, \\
|E_{w_l,5}(\mu, y)| &\ll |y_1|^{\frac{1}{2}+4\epsilon} |y_2|^{\frac{1}{2}+3\epsilon} \\
&\quad \sum_{w \in W} |\mu_3^w - \mu_1^w|^{\frac{1}{2}+100\epsilon} |\mu_3^w - \mu_2^w|^{\frac{1}{2}+100\epsilon} |\mu_2^w - \mu_1^w|^{100\epsilon}, \\
|E_{w_l,6}(\mu, y)| &\ll |y_1|^{\frac{1}{2}+3\epsilon} |y_2|^{\frac{1}{2}+3\epsilon} |\mu_3 - \mu_1|^{\frac{1}{2}+100\epsilon} |\mu_3 - \mu_2|^{\frac{1}{2}+100\epsilon} |\mu_2 - \mu_1|^{\frac{1}{2}+100\epsilon}, \\
|E_{w_l,7}(\mu, y)| &\ll |y_1|^{\frac{1}{2}+4\epsilon} |y_2|^{\frac{1}{2}+6\epsilon} \\
&\quad \sum_{w \in W} |\mu_3^w - \mu_1^w|^{\frac{1}{2}+100\epsilon} |\mu_3^w - \mu_2^w|^{\frac{1}{2}+100\epsilon} |\mu_2^w - \mu_1^w|^{100\epsilon}.
\end{aligned}$$

Partial proof of Proposition 20. Bounding the s and t integrals is highly repetitive and simply involves applying Hölder's inequality many times, so we include one example and leave the remaining six to the reader. We tackle the most difficult

case, and examine the integral

$$\begin{aligned}
M_{w_l}(y, r, u, v) := & \int_{\text{Re}(s)=\mathfrak{s}} \int_{\text{Re}(t)=\mathfrak{t}} |4y_1|^{-s_1} |4y_2|^{-s_2} A_{w_l}(r, s, t, u, v) \Gamma(s_1 - u_1) \Gamma(s_2 - u_2 - t_1) \\
& \Gamma(t_1) \Gamma(1 + s_1 - r_2) \Gamma(t_1 + t_2) \Gamma(1 + s_2 - r_1 - t_1 - t_2) \\
& B\left(\frac{u_1 - s_1 - s_2 + r_1 + t_2}{2}, \frac{1 + 2u_1 - 2u_2 - s_1 + s_2 - r_1 - t_2}{2}\right) \\
& B\left(\frac{-s_1 - s_2 + r_2 - t_2}{2}, \frac{-3u_1 + 2u_2 + 2s_1 - 2s_2 + r_1 - r_2 + t_2}{2}\right) \\
& B\left(\frac{1 - 2t_1 - t_2}{2}, \frac{1 - u_2 - s_1 + s_2 - r_1}{2}\right) dt ds,
\end{aligned}$$

in the case

$$\mathfrak{u} = -\frac{1}{2} - (4\epsilon, 6\epsilon), \quad \mathfrak{r} = \left(\frac{1}{2} - 6\epsilon, 100\epsilon\right), \quad \mathfrak{s} = -\frac{1}{2} - 3\epsilon, \quad \mathfrak{t} = (2\epsilon, 0).$$

We bound this quantity by taking the absolute value of the integrand, call the resulting integral $|M_{w_l}|$, and assume that the real part of each variable is fixed.

For brevity, we introduce the shorthand

$$B\left(\begin{smallmatrix} a, & b \\ c & ; u, \\ & v \end{smallmatrix}\right) := |u|^a |v|^b |u + v|^c \exp -\frac{\pi}{4} (|\text{Im}(u)| + |\text{Im}(v)| - |\text{Im}(u + v)|),$$

and suppress terms $O(\epsilon^2)$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
& |M_{w_l}|(y, r, u, v) \\
& \ll |y_1|^{\frac{1}{2}+3\epsilon} |y_2|^{\frac{1}{2}+3\epsilon} \int_{\text{Re}(s)=\mathfrak{s}} \int_{\text{Re}(t)=\mathfrak{t}} |s_1 - u_1|^{-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} |s_2 - u_2 - t_1|^{-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} |t_1|^{-\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon} \\
& |1 + s_1 - r_2|^{-103\epsilon} |t_1 + t_2|^{-\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon} |1 + s_2 - r_1 - t_1 - t_2|^{-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} \\
& B\left(\begin{smallmatrix} -2\epsilon, & -\frac{1}{4} + 5\epsilon, & u_1 - s_1 - s_2 + r_1 + t_2, \\ -\frac{1}{4} - 3\epsilon & ; & 1 + 2u_1 - 2u_2 - s_1 + s_2 - r_1 - t_2 \end{smallmatrix}\right) \\
& B\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 53\epsilon, & -53\epsilon, & -s_1 - s_2 + r_2 - t_2, \\ -\frac{1}{2} & ; & -3u_1 + 2u_2 + 2s_1 - 2s_2 + r_1 - r_2 + t_2 \end{smallmatrix}\right) \\
& B\left(\begin{smallmatrix} -2\epsilon, & 6\epsilon, & 1 - 2t_1 - t_2, \\ -\frac{1}{2} - 4\epsilon & ; & 1 - u_2 - s_1 + s_2 - r_1 \end{smallmatrix}\right) |dt| |ds|.
\end{aligned}$$

Applying Hölder's inequality with fractions 53ϵ , 2ϵ , and $1 - 55\epsilon$ gives the integrals:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\text{Re}(s)=\mathfrak{s}} \int_{\text{Re}(t)=\mathfrak{t}} |s_1 - u_1|^{-1-\epsilon} |s_2 - u_2 - t_1|^{-1-\epsilon} |t_1|^{-1-\epsilon} \\
& B\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1, & -1, & -s_1 - s_2 + r_2 - t_2, \\ -1 & ; & -3u_1 + 2u_2 + 2s_1 - 2s_2 + r_1 - r_2 + t_2 \end{smallmatrix}\right) |dt| |ds| \ll 1,
\end{aligned}$$

by evaluating t_2 , then t_1 , then s_2 and s_1 with the results of the section on beta functions.

$$\int_{\operatorname{Re}(s)=s} \int_{\operatorname{Re}(t)=t} |s_1 - u_1|^{-1-\epsilon} |t_1|^{-1-\epsilon} B \left(\begin{matrix} -1, & 3, & 1 - 2t_1 - t_2, \\ -4 - \epsilon, & 1 - u_2 - s_1 + s_2 - r_1 \end{matrix} \right) |dt| |ds| \ll 1,$$

by sending $s_2 \mapsto s_2 + t_2$, and evaluating in the same order.

$$\begin{aligned} I_3 = & \int_{\operatorname{Re}(s)=s} \int_{\operatorname{Re}(t)=t} |s_1 - u_1|^{-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{57}{2}\epsilon} |s_2 - u_2 - t_1|^{-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{53}{2}\epsilon} |t_1|^{-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{59}{2}\epsilon} \\ & |1 + s_1 - r_2|^{-103\epsilon} |t_1 + t_2|^{-\frac{1}{2} - \frac{51}{2}\epsilon} |1 + s_2 - r_1 - t_1 - t_2|^{-\frac{1}{2} - \frac{53}{2}\epsilon} \\ & B \left(\begin{matrix} -2\epsilon, & -\frac{1}{4} - \frac{35}{4}\epsilon, & u_1 - s_1 - s_2 + r_1 + t_2, \\ -\frac{1}{4} - \frac{51}{4}\epsilon, & 1 + 2u_1 - 2u_2 - s_1 + s_2 - r_1 - t_2 \end{matrix} \right) \\ & |3u_1 - 2u_2 - s_1 + 3s_2 - r_1|^{-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{51}{2}\epsilon} \\ & |2 - u_2 - s_1 + s_2 - r_1 - 2t_1 - t_2|^{-\frac{1}{2} - \frac{47}{2}\epsilon} |dt| |ds|. \end{aligned}$$

Now we apply Hölder's inequality to t_2 with fractions $\frac{1}{2} - 27\epsilon$, 2ϵ , $\frac{105}{2}\epsilon$, $\frac{103}{2}\epsilon$, $\frac{1}{4} + \frac{27}{4}\epsilon$, $\frac{1}{4} - \frac{343}{4}\epsilon$, grouping as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & |t_1 + t_2|^{-\frac{1}{2} + 27\epsilon} |1 + s_2 - r_1 - t_1 - t_2|^{-\frac{1}{2} + 27\epsilon} \\ & |u_1 - s_1 - s_2 + r_1 + t_2|^{-2\epsilon} |1 + 2u_1 - 2u_2 - s_1 + s_2 - r_1 - t_2|^{-2\epsilon} \\ & |t_1 + t_2|^{-\frac{105}{2}\epsilon} |2 - u_2 - s_1 + s_2 - r_1 - 2t_1 - t_2|^{-\frac{105}{2}\epsilon} \\ & |1 + s_2 - r_1 - t_1 - t_2|^{-\frac{103}{2}\epsilon} |2 - u_2 - s_1 + s_2 - r_1 - 2t_1 - t_2|^{-\frac{103}{2}\epsilon} \\ & |1 + 2u_1 - 2u_2 - s_1 + s_2 - r_1 - t_2|^{-\frac{1}{4} - \frac{27}{4}\epsilon} |2 - u_2 - s_1 + s_2 - r_1 - 2t_1 - t_2|^{-\frac{1}{4} - \frac{27}{4}\epsilon} \\ & |2 - u_2 - s_1 + s_2 - r_1 - 2t_1 - t_2|^{-\frac{1}{4} + \frac{341}{4}\epsilon}, \end{aligned}$$

which gives us

$$\begin{aligned} I_3 \ll & \int_{\operatorname{Re}(s)=s} \int_{\operatorname{Re}(t_1)=t_1} |s_1 - u_1|^{-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{61}{2}\epsilon} |s_2 - u_2 - t_1|^{-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{57}{2}\epsilon} |t_1|^{-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{59}{2}\epsilon} \\ & |1 + s_1 - r_2|^{-101\epsilon} |1 + 3u_1 - 2u_2 - 2s_1|^{-\frac{1}{4} - \frac{67}{4}\epsilon} \\ & |3u_1 - 2u_2 - s_1 + 3s_2 - r_1|^{-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{51}{2}\epsilon} |1 + s_2 - r_1|^{-\frac{1}{2} + 27\epsilon} \\ & |2 - u_2 - s_1 + s_2 - r_1 - t_1|^{-\frac{105}{2}\epsilon} |1 - u_2 - s_1 - 2r_1 - t_1|^{-\frac{103}{2}\epsilon} \\ & |1 - 2u_1 + u_2 - 2t_1|^{-\frac{1}{4} - \frac{27}{4}\epsilon} |dt_1| |ds|. \end{aligned}$$

Now Hölder in t_1 with fractions $\frac{3}{4} - \frac{237}{4}\epsilon$, $\frac{105}{2}\epsilon$, $\frac{1}{4} + \frac{27}{4}\epsilon$, pairing in order of appearance, gives

$$\begin{aligned} I_3 \ll & \int_{\operatorname{Re}(s)=s} |s_1 - u_1|^{-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{61}{2}\epsilon} |s_2 - u_2|^{-\frac{1}{4} - \frac{5}{4}\epsilon} |1 + s_1 - r_2|^{-101\epsilon} \\ & |1 + 3u_1 - 2u_2 - 2s_1|^{-\frac{1}{4} - \frac{75}{4}\epsilon} |3u_1 - 2u_2 - s_1 + 3s_2 - r_1|^{-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{51}{2}\epsilon} \\ & |1 + s_2 - r_1|^{-\frac{1}{2} + 27\epsilon} |1 + s_2 + r_1|^{-\frac{103}{2}\epsilon} |ds|. \end{aligned}$$

Now Hölder in s_2 with fractions $\frac{1}{4} + \frac{5}{4}\epsilon, \frac{3}{4} - \frac{207}{4}\epsilon, \frac{101}{2}\epsilon$, pairing in order of appearance, gives

$$\begin{aligned} I_3 &\ll \int_{\operatorname{Re}(s_1)=s_1} |s_1 - u_1|^{-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{61}{2}\epsilon} |1 + s_1 - r_2|^{-101\epsilon} |1 + 3u_1 - 2u_2 - 2s_1|^{-\frac{1}{4} - \frac{75}{4}\epsilon} \\ &\quad | -3 + 3u_1 - 2u_2 - s_1 + 2r_1 |^{-\frac{1}{4} + \frac{3}{4}\epsilon} |ds_1| \\ &\ll 1. \end{aligned}$$

□

8. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author would like to thank Prof. W. Duke for his guidance along the way, Prof. X. Li for a copy of her “Kloostermania” and accompanying advice in addition to some timely warnings, and Prof. V. Blomer for the Kim-Sarnak result and other helpful comments.

REFERENCES

- [1] Valentin Blomer. Applications of the kuznetsov formula on $\mathfrak{gl}(3)$. To appear.
- [2] Daniel Bump. *Automorphic forms on $GL(3, \mathbf{R})$* , volume 1083 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1984.
- [3] Daniel Bump, Solomon Friedberg, and Dorian Goldfeld. Poincaré series and Kloosterman sums for $SL(3, \mathbb{Z})$. *Acta Arith.*, 50(1):31–89, 1988.
- [4] Romuald Dąbrowski and Benji Fisher. A stationary phase formula for exponential sums over $\mathbf{Z}/p^m\mathbf{Z}$ and applications to $GL(3)$ -Kloosterman sums. *Acta Arith.*, 80(1):1–48, 1997.
- [5] J.-M. Deshouillers and H. Iwaniec. An additive divisor problem. *J. London Math. Soc. (2)*, 26(1):1–14, 1982.
- [6] J. J. Duistermaat, J. A. C. Kolk, and V. S. Varadarajan. Spectra of compact locally symmetric manifolds of negative curvature. *Invent. Math.*, 52(1):27–93, 1979.
- [7] W. Duke, J. B. Friedlander, and H. Iwaniec. Bounds for automorphic L -functions. II. *Invent. Math.*, 115(2):219–239, 1994.
- [8] W. Duke, J. B. Friedlander, and H. Iwaniec. Equidistribution of roots of a quadratic congruence to prime moduli. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 141(2):423–441, 1995.
- [9] Dorian Goldfeld. *Automorphic forms and L -functions for the group $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$* , volume 99 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006. With an appendix by Kevin A. Broughan.
- [10] Hervé Jacquet, Ilja Iosifovitch Piatetski-Shapiro, and Joseph Shalika. Automorphic forms on $GL(3)$. I. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 109(1):169–212, 1979.
- [11] Jay Jorgenson and Serge Lang. *Spherical inversion on $SL_n(\mathbb{R})$* . Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2001.
- [12] Henry H. Kim. Functoriality for the exterior square of GL_4 and the symmetric fourth of GL_2 . *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 16(1):139–183 (electronic), 2003. With appendix 1 by Dinakar Ramakrishnan and appendix 2 by Kim and Peter Sarnak.
- [13] H. Kloosterman. Asymptotische formeln für die fourierkoeffizienten ganzer modulformen. *Abhandlungen aus dem Mathematischen Seminar der Universität Hamburg*, 5:337–352, 1927.
- [14] H. D. Kloosterman. On the representation of numbers in the form $ax^2 + by^2 + cz^2 + dt^2$. *Acta Math.*, 49(3-4):407–464, 1927.
- [15] N. V. Kuznetsov. The Petersson conjecture for cusp forms of weight zero and the Linnik conjecture. Sums of Kloosterman sums. *Mat. Sb. (N.S.)*, 111(153)(3):334–383, 479, 1980.
- [16] Xiaoping Li. A spectral mean value theorem for $GL(3)$. *J. Number Theory*, 130(11):2395–2403, 2010.
- [17] Ju. V. Linnik. Additive problems and eigenvalues of the modular operators. In *Proc. Internat. Congr. Mathematicians (Stockholm, 1962)*, pages 270–284. Inst. Mittag-Leffler, Djursholm, 1963.
- [18] W. Luo, Z. Rudnick, and P. Sarnak. On Selberg’s eigenvalue conjecture. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 5(2):387–401, 1995.

- [19] Wenzhi Luo, Zeév Rudnick, and Peter Sarnak. On the generalized Ramanujan conjecture for $GL(n)$. In *Automorphic forms, automorphic representations, and arithmetic (Fort Worth, TX, 1996)*, volume 66 of *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, pages 301–310. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999.
- [20] Hans Petersson. Über die Entwicklungskoeffizienten der automorphen Formen. *Acta Math.*, 58(1):169–215, 1932.
- [21] Hans Rademacher. A convergent series for the partition function $p(n)$. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 23(2):pp. 78–84, 1937.
- [22] R. A. Rankin. *Modular Forms of Negative Dimensions*. PhD thesis, Clare College, Cambridge, 1940.
- [23] Atle Selberg. über die fourierkoeffizienten elliptischer modulformen negativer dimension. *Neuvième Congrès des Mathématiciens Scandinaves (Helsingfors, 1938)*, 1939.
- [24] Eric Stade. Archimedean L -factors on $GL(n) \times GL(n)$ and generalized Barnes integrals. *Israel J. Math.*, 127:201–219, 2002.
- [25] Audrey Terras. *Harmonic analysis and symmetric spaces and applications. II*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1988.
- [26] I J Vahutinskii. Irreducible unitary representations of the group $GL(3, \mathbf{R})$ of real matrices of the third order. *Mathematics of the USSR-Sbornik*, 4(2):273, 1968.
- [27] André Weil. On some exponential sums. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 34:204–207, 1948.
- [28] Yangbo Ye. A Kuznetsov formula for Kloosterman sums on GL_n . *Ramanujan J.*, 4(4):385–395, 2000.

E-mail address: runman@math.ucla.edu